Some Mathematics for Essay 3

Fred Thulin

2010 November 9

Recall you are examining the function

$$flat(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} & \text{for } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and want to prove that the Maclaurin series of *flat* does not converge to *flat*. Do this by showing that *flat* and all its derivatives have value 0 at x = 0 and conclude the Maclaurin series is the identically 0 series, which converges to the identically 0 function and not to *flat*. Below is an outline of a suggested proof.

Lemma 1. For $x \neq 0$, $flat^{(n)}(x) = flat(x)p_n(\frac{1}{x})$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, ... where p_n is a polynomial (of degree 3 greater than that of p_{n-1} when $n \geq 1$).

Proof outline. Use mathematical induction on n, the degree of the derivative.

Base case (n = 0): $flat^{(0)}(x) = flat(x) = flat(x) \cdot 1$ and 1 is a polynomial.

Inductive step: Show $flat^{(k)}(x) = flat(x)p_k(\frac{1}{x}) \Rightarrow flat^{(k+1)}(x) = flat(x)p_{k+1}(\frac{1}{x})$, where p_{k+1} is a polynomial with degree 3 more than the degree of the polynomial p_k . Start by differentiating as follows:

$$flat^{(k+1)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} flat^{(k)}(x)$$

= $\frac{d}{dx} \left(flat(x)p_k(\frac{1}{x}) \right)$
= $flat(x) \left(\frac{2}{x^3}p_k(\frac{1}{x}) - p'_k(\frac{1}{x}) \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} \right)$
= $flat(x)p_{k+1}(\frac{1}{x})$, where $p_{k+1}(\frac{1}{x}) = \frac{2}{x^3}p_k(\frac{1}{x}) - p'_k(\frac{1}{x}) \cdot \frac{1}{x^2}$.

You will need to supply more details and argue that the degree of p_{k+1} is 3 more than that of p_k .

Lemma 2. $flat^{(n)}(0) = 0$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Proof outline. Again use induction on n.

Base case (n = 0): flat(0) = 0 by definition.

Inductive step: Use the definition of the derivative as the limit of a difference quotient, along with the inductive assumption that $flat^{(k)}(0) = 0$ to show $flat^{(k+1)}(0) = 0$.

$$flat^{(k+1)}(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{flat^{(k)}(0+h) - flat^{(k)}(0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{flat^{(k)}(h)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{flat(h)p_k(\frac{1}{h})}{h}, \text{ and now letting } u = \frac{1}{h},$$
$$= \lim_{u \to \pm \infty} \frac{up_k(u)}{e^{u^2}} = 0.$$

Again, supply more details. You should now be able to conclude, using the definition of Maclaurin series (perhaps cite a calculus book), the following:

Proposition. The Maclaurin series of flat does not converge to flat except at x = 0.

For suggested topic 1, you can use an approach like:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} flat(x+a)flat(x+b) & \text{for } -b < x < -a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$g(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) \, dt,$$
$$h(x) := \frac{g(x)}{g(0)} \text{ and}$$
$$bump(x) := h(x)h(-x).$$

Though a formal proof in not required, give an argument for why *bump* has the desired properties of being a \mathbf{C}^{∞} bump function (at 0).

For suggested topic 2, you can show the ideal $I_n = \langle flat, flat', \ldots, flat^{(n)} \rangle$ does not contain $flat^{(n+1)}$ so that $I_n \subsetneq I_{n+1}$. Use the fact from Lemma 1 that the degree of p_{n+1} is 3 more than that of p_n . This means that the ring of $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ functions contains an infinite proper ascending chain of ideals $I_0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq I_n \subsetneq \ldots$, thus showing $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ fails to satisfy the ascending chain condition. The ascending chain condition (ACC) states that any such chain must be finite, and Noetherian rings must satisfy the ACC [2], so $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is not Noetherian.

References

1. Ideal (ring theory). (2010, August 24). In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 15:47, October 22, 2010, from

http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Ideal_(ring_theory)&oldid=380799258.

2. Noetherian ring. (2010, October 13). In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 19:36, October 21, 2010, from

http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Noetherian_ring&oldid=390563549.