# VOLUME AND HOMOLOGY OF ONE-CUSPED HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS 

MARC CULLER AND PETER B. SHALEN


#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp. If we assume that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus- 2 surface group, and that either (a) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq 5$ for some prime $p$, or (b) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq$ 4, and the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times$ $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at most 1 , then $\operatorname{vol} M>5.06$. If we assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$, then $\operatorname{vol} M>3.66$; and furthermore, either $\operatorname{vol} M>5.06$, or the compact core $N$ of $M$ contains a genus- 2 connected incompressible surface $S$ of a special type ( $S$ is the frontier of some book of $I$-bundles, possibly with a hollow binding, contained in $N$ ).


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall prove:
Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold having exactly one cusp, such that that $\pi_{1}(N)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus-2 surface group. Suppose that either
(a) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq 5$ for some prime $p$, or
(b) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 4$, and the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at most 1 .

Then

$$
\operatorname{vol} M>5.06
$$

Contrapositively, one can think of Theorem 1.1 as saying that if the one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold has volume at most 5.06, then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \leq 4$ for every prime $p$; and that if in addition one assumes that the span of the image of the cup product has dimension at most 1 , then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 3$.

We do not know whether these bounds on homology are sharp. The Weeks-Hodgson census [24] contains many examples of one-cusped manifolds with volume $<5.06$ for which $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=3$. We have not calculated the cup product for these examples.
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Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of the following two results, Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Recall that the rank of a group $\Phi$ is the smallest cardinality of any generating set of $\Phi$. A group $\Gamma$ is said to be $k$-free, where $k$ is a given integer, if every subgroup of $\Gamma$ whose rank is at most $k$ is a free group.

Proposition 1.2. Let $N$ be a compact, orientable 3-manifold whose boundary is a torus, and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Suppose that $\pi_{1}(N)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for any integer $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$. In addition, suppose that either
(a) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq k+2$ for some prime $p$, or
(b) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq k+1$, and the subspace of $H^{2}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at most $k-2$.

Then there is an infinite sequence of closed manifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, obtained by distinct Dehn fillings of $N$, such that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ is $k$-free for every $j \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp. Suppose that there is an infinite sequence of closed manifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, obtained by distinct Dehn fillings of the compact core of $M$, such that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ is 3-free for every $j \geq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{vol} M>5.06
$$

The proof of Proposition 1.2 will be given in Section 4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 9.

In Section 10 we prove a result, Theorem 10.12, which asserts that if a one-cusped (complete, finite-volume, orientable) hyperbolic manifold $M$ satisfies $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$, then either $\operatorname{vol} M>5.06$ or $N$ contains a genus-2 (embedded) incompressible surface $S$ of a special kind. We may think of this as saying that the restriction on surface subgroups in Theorem 1.1 can be relaxed at the expense of strengthening the lower bound on homology. The proof combines Theorem 1.3 with the results of [?].

A corollary of Theorem 10.12, Corollary 10.14, asserts that if the one-cusped hyperbolic manifold $M$ satisfies $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$, then $\operatorname{vol} M>3.66$.

Our proof of Proposition 1.2 depends on the following result, Theorem 1.5, which is of independent interest and is related to a result of Oertel [20, Proposition 1.1].

Definition 1.4. Let $N$ be a compact, orientable 3-manifold whose boundary is a torus, and let $g>0$ be an integer. A slope (2.3) $\alpha$ in $\partial N$ will be called a genus- $g$ singular boundary slope if there exist a compact orientable surface $F$, with genus $g$ and non-empty boundary, and a map $f: F \rightarrow N$, such that (i) $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ and $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F, \partial F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N, \partial N)$
are injective, and (ii) $f$ maps each component of $\partial F$ homeomorphically onto a simple closed curve in $\partial N$ representing the slope $\alpha$.
(We show in Proposition 4.1 that injectivity of $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ implies injectivity of $\left.f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F \partial F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N, \partial N).\right)$

Theorem 1.5. Let $N$ be a compact, orientable 3-manifold whose boundary is a torus, let $\alpha$ be a slope (see 2.3) in $\partial N$, and let $g>0$ be an integer. Suppose that the fundamental group of the manifold $N(\alpha)$ obtained from $N$ by the surgery corresponding to $\alpha$ (see 2.3) has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group. Then either $\pi_{1}(N)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group, or $\alpha$ is a singular genus-g boundary slope.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 will also be given in Section 4.
A crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is a result, Proposition 6.3, which asserts that if $c$ is a suitably short geodesic in a hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$, and $\pi_{1}(M)$ is $k$-free for a given $k \geq 3$, then there exists a point $Q \in M$ which is far from $c$; the precise lower bound for the distance from $Q$ to (a suitably chosen point of) $c$ is a function of $k$ and of the length $l$ of $c$, which increases when one decreases $l$ or increases $k$. For small $l$, this function is strictly greater than the lower bound for the radius of an embedded tube about $c$ given by [4, Corollary 10.2]. Proposition 6.3 also asserts that $Q$ may be taken to be a ( $\log 3$ )-thick point, in the sense that it is the center of an embedded hyperbolic ball of radius $(\log 3) / 2$.

The proof of Proposition 6.3 is based on a new application of the Generalized $\log (2 k-1)$ Theorem ([4, Theorem 4.1], which we combine with the Tameness Theorem of [1] or [9]), and may be regarded as an analogue of [4, Corollary 10.2] for $k>2$.

We prove Proposition 6.3 in Section 6, after some algebraic preliminaries in Section 5. We deduce Proposition 6.3, via the topology of Margulis tubes, from a result, Proposition 6.1, which applies in a more general setting and which we expect to have other applications.

In Section 7, we combine Proposition 6.3 with geometric convergence techniques based on Thurston's Dehn filling theorem to prove a result, Theorem 7.3, which asserts that if $M$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 , there is a $(\log 3)$-thick point $Q$ which is suitably distant from a maximal standard neighborhood (2.4) of the cusp of $M$. Much of the general information about Dehn filling and geometric convergence that we needed is contained in our Proposition 7.2.

In Section 9 we deduce Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 7.3. This step requires the use of a stronger form of Böróckzy's results on density of sphere-packing [7] than has previously been used in the study of volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The needed background is explained in Section 8.

Section 2 is devoted to establishing some conventions that are used in the rest of the paper.
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## 2. General conventions

2.1. A manifold may have a boundary. If $M$ is a manifold, we shall denote the boundary of $M$ by $\partial M$ and its interior $M-\partial M$ by int $M$.

In some of our topological results about manifolds of dimension $\leq 3$, specifically those in Section 4, we do not specify a category. These results may be interpreted in the category in which manifolds are topological, PL or smooth, and submanifolds are respectively locally flat, PL or smooth; these three categories are equivalent in these low dimensions as far as classification is concerned. Some of the proofs in Section 3 are done in the PL category, but the applications to hyperbolic manifolds in later sections are carried out in the smooth category.

Definitions 2.2. A 3-manifold $M$ will be termed irreducible if every 2-sphere in $M$ bounds a ball in $M$. We shall say that $M$ is boundary-irreducible if $\partial M$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in $M$, or equivalently if for every properly embedded disk $D \subset M$ the simple closed curve $\partial D$ bounds a disk in $\partial M$. We shall say that a 3 -manifold $M$ is simple if (i) $M$ is compact, connected, orientable, irreducible and boundary-irreducible; (ii) every non-cyclic abelian subgroup of $\pi_{1}(M)$ is carried (up to conjugacy) by a torus component of $\partial M$; and (iii) $M$ is not a closed manifold with finite fundamental group, and is not homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$.
2.3. If $X$ is a 2-torus, we define a slope in $X$ to be an unoriented isotopy class of non-trivial simple closed curves in $X$.

If $N$ is a 3 -manifold, $X$ is a torus component of $\partial N$, and $\alpha$ is a slope in $X$, we shall denote by $N(\alpha)$ the manifold obtained from the disjoint union of $N$ and $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ by identifying $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ with $X$ via a homeomorphism that maps $D^{2} \times\{1\}$ onto a curve with slope $\alpha$. We shall say that $N(\alpha)$ is obtained from $N$ by the Dehn filling corresponding to $\alpha$.
2.4. If $P$ and $Q$ are points in a complete hyperbolic manifold $M$, we shall denote by $\operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q)$ the hyperbolic distance between $P$ and $Q$ (which may be thought of as the length of a shortest geodesic from $P$ to $Q$, if $P \neq Q$ ).

Let $X$ be a subset of a complete hyperbolic 3 -manifold $M$. For any point $P \in M$ we define $\operatorname{dist}(P, X)=\inf _{Q \in X} \operatorname{dist}(P, Q)$. We have $\operatorname{dist}(P, X)=\operatorname{dist}(P, \bar{X})$, and $\operatorname{dist}(P, X)=0$ if and only if $P \in \bar{X}$. If $r$ is a real number, we shall denote by $N(r, X)$ the set of all points $P \in M$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(P, X)<r$. Note that $N(r, X)=\emptyset$ if $r \leq 0$. If $Q$ is a point of $M$ and $r$ is a real number, we shall write $N(r, Q)=N(r,\{Q\})$.

By a cylinder in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ we mean a set of the form $N(r, A)$, where $r$ is a (strictly) positive real number and $A$ is a line in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$.

Let $M$ be a complete hyperbolic $n$-manifold. We shall often identify $M$ with a quotient $\mathbb{H}^{n} / \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Isom}_{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{n}\right)$ is discrete and torsion-free. We define a tube (or, respectively, a standard cusp neighborhood) in $M$ to be the image in $M$ of a cylinder (or, respectively, a horoball) in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ which is precisely invariant under $\Gamma$. Note that tubes and standard cusp neighborhoods are open subsets of $M$.

Every simple closed geodesic in $M$ has a neighborhood which is a tube.
An orbit of points in the sphere at infinity which are fixed points of parabolic elements of $\Gamma$ is said to define a cusp $\mathcal{K}$ of $M$. If $z$ is a point of the given orbit, some horoball based at $z$ is precisely invariant under $\Gamma$ and thus defines a standard cusp neighborhood, which we may also refer to as a standard neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}$.

The tubes about a given simple geodesic $c$, or the standard neighborhoods of a given cusp $\mathcal{K}$, are totally ordered by inclusion, and their union is the unique tube about $c$, or respectively the unique maximal standard neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}$.
2.5. Let $M=\mathbb{H}^{n} / \Gamma$ be a complete hyperbolic $n$-manifold, and let $q: \mathbb{H}^{n} \rightarrow M$ denote the quotient map.

If $\lambda$ is a positive number, we shall denote by $M_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ the set of all points of $M$ at which the injectivity radius is strictly less than $\lambda / 2$. We shall denote by $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ the set $q^{-1}\left(M_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)\right)$. Equivalently, $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ is the set of all points $z \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma(z), z)<\lambda$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{1\}$.

We set $M_{\text {thick }}(\lambda)=M-M_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thick }}(\lambda)=q^{-1}\left(M_{\text {thick }}(\lambda)\right)=\mathbb{H}^{n}-\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$.
Each non-trivial element $\gamma$ of $\Gamma$ lies in a unique maximal abelian subgroup, which is the centralizer of $\gamma$. In particular, non-trivial elements which lie in distinct maximal abelian subgroups do not commute.

The non-trivial elements of a maximal abelian subgroup $C$ either are all loxodromic isometries with a common axis, which we shall denote by $A_{C}$, or are all parabolic isometries with a common fixed point at infinity, which we shall denote by $\omega_{C}$. If $C$ is loxodromic it must be cyclic. We shall denote by $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{C}(M)$ the set of all maximal abelian subgroups of $\Gamma$. For any positive real number $\lambda$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(M)$ the set of all subgroups $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ which are either parabolic or are generated by loxodromic elements of translation length $<\lambda$.

Let $\gamma$ be an element of $\Gamma$, and let $\lambda$ be a positive real number. We shall denote by $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ the set of points $z \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(z, \gamma(z))<\lambda$. If $\gamma$ is parabolic then $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ is a horoball based at the fixed point of $\gamma$. If $\gamma$ is loxodromic and the translation length $l$ of $\gamma$ is at least $\lambda$ then $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)=\emptyset$. If $\gamma$ is loxodromic and $l<\lambda$ then $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ is a cylinder about the axis of $\gamma$.

Its radius $R_{\gamma}(\lambda)$ is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\gamma}(\lambda)=\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\left(\frac{\cosh \lambda-\cosh l}{\cosh l-\cos \theta}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ denotes the twist angle of $\gamma$. This follows from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \operatorname{dist}(z, \gamma \cdot z)=\cosh l+\left(\sinh ^{2} r\right)(\cosh l-\cos \theta) \tag{2.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for any point $z \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$ at a distance $r$ from the axis of a loxodromic isometry $\gamma$ with translation length $l$ and twist angle $\theta$.
It follows from the definitions that

$$
\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)=\bigcup_{1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma} Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)
$$

It follows from our description of the sets $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ that the set $Z_{\lambda}(C) \doteq \bigcup_{1 \neq \gamma \in C} Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$ is empty for every $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)-\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$; is a cylinder about $A_{C}$ for every loxodromic $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$; and is a horoball based at $\omega_{C}$ for every parabolic $C$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)=\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)} Z_{\lambda}(C) \tag{2.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a Margulis number for $M$ to be a positive real number $\lambda$ such that for any two subgroups $C, C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ we have $Z_{\lambda}(C) \cap Z_{\lambda}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. If $\lambda$ is a Margulis number for $M$, the components of $M_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ are tubes and standard cusp neighborhoods.
Since for any $\lambda>0$ the set $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ is a union of sets of the form $Z_{\lambda}(\gamma)$, each of which is precisely invariant under a maximal abelian subgroup, $\lambda$ is a Margulis number if and only if the fundamental group of each component of $M_{\text {thin }}(\lambda)$ has abelian image in $\pi_{1}(M)$.
2.6. Note also that if $\lambda$ is a Margulis number then $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thick }}(\lambda)$ is connected, since the union in 2.5.3 is disjoint. In particular it follows that $M_{\text {thick }}(\lambda)$ is connected.
2.7. It follows from [11, Theorem 10.3], together with the main result of [1] or [9], that if $M$ is a complete, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold without cusps and $\pi_{1}(M)$ is 2-free, then $\log 3$ is Margulis number for $M$.

## 3. Cup products and homology of covering spaces

The main result of this section is Proposition 3.5, which is a variation on [?, Lemma 6.3].
3.1. As in [?], if $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ then the dimension of $V$ will be denoted $\mathrm{rk}_{2} V$. If $X$ is a topological space, we will set $\mathrm{rk}_{2} X=\mathrm{rk}_{2} H_{1}\left(X ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.
3.2. As in $[?, 6.1]$, if $N$ is a normal subgroup of a group $G$, we shall denote by $G \# N$ the subgroup of $G$ generated by all elements of the form $g a g^{-1} a^{-1} b^{2}$ with $g \in G$ and $a, b \in N$.

Also recall from [?, 6.1] the five term exact sequence due to Stallings [?]. If $N$ is a normal subgroup of a group $G$ and if $Q=G / N$, then there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}\left(G ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow N /(G \# N) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(G ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the maps $H_{1}\left(G ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $H_{2}\left(G ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ are induced by the natural homomorphism from $G$ to $Q=G / N$.
3.3. As in $[?, 6.2]$, for any group $\Gamma$, we define subgroups $\Gamma_{d}$ of $\Gamma$ recursively for $d \geq 0$, by setting $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{d+1}=\Gamma \# \Gamma_{d}$. We regard $\Gamma_{d} / \Gamma_{d+1}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space.

The following result is a variation on [23, Lemma 1.3].
Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $M$ is a closed, aspherical 3-manifold. Let denote the rank of the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Set $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M)$ and $r=\mathrm{rk}_{2} M$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2}$ has dimension exactly $(r(r+1) / 2)-t$.

Proof. The group $Q=\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}$ is an elementary 2-group of rank $r$. If we regard $Q$ as a direct product $C_{1} \times \cdots \times C_{r}$, where each $C_{i}$ is cyclic of order 2 , then the Künneth theorem for cohomology with field coefficients gives an identification

$$
H^{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\bigoplus_{D \in I} V_{D}
$$

where $I$ is the set of all $r$-tuples of non-negative integers whose sum is 2 , and

$$
V_{D}=H^{d_{1}}\left(C_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{d_{r}}\left(C_{r} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)
$$

for every $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right) \in I$. We have

$$
I=\left\{D_{h k}: 1 \leq h<k \leq r\right\} \cup\left\{E_{h}: 1 \leq h \leq r\right\},
$$

where the $r$-tuples $D_{h k}$ and $E_{h}$ are defined by $D_{h k}=\left(\delta_{i h}+\delta_{i k}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ and $D_{h}=\left(\delta_{i h}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$. In particular we have $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=|I|=r(r+1) / 2$.

Note that when $1 \leq h<k \leq r$, the generator of $V_{D_{h k}}$ is the cup product of the generators of $H^{1}\left(C_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(C_{k} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$; and that when $1 \leq h \leq r$, the generator of $V_{D_{h}}$ is the cup product of the generator of $H^{1}\left(C_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ with itself. (We remark that the second statement would not hold if we were working over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for an odd prime $p$, since the cup product of a generator of $H^{1}\left(C_{h} ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with itself would vanish.) Hence if $c: H^{1}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is the map defined by cup product, the image of $c$ contains all the $V_{D_{h k}}$ and all the $V_{D_{h}}$, so that $c$ is surjective.

There is a natural commutative diagram

in which the map $\bar{c}$ is defined by the cup product in the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology of $\Gamma$, and $j$ is the isomorphism induced by the quotient map $\Gamma \rightarrow Q=\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}$. Since $M$ is aspherical, the $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ cohomology ring of $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to that of $M$. The definition of $t$ therefore implies that the image of $\bar{c}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space of dimension $t$. Since we have shown that $c$ is surjective, and since $j$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the linear map $\beta^{*}$, which is induced by the quotient map $\Gamma \rightarrow Q$, has rank $t$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is a field, it follows that the homomorphism $\beta: H_{2}\left(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ induced by the quotient map also has rank $t$.

Applying (3.2.1) with $G=\Gamma$ and $N=\Gamma_{1}$, so that $Q=\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}$ is the rank-r elementary 2-group defined above and $N /(G \# N)=\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2}$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$
H_{2}\left(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2} \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma / \Gamma_{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

But we have seen that $\beta$ has rank $t$, and it is clear that $\alpha$, which is induced by the quotient $\operatorname{map} \Gamma \rightarrow Q=\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}$, is an isomorphism. Hence by exactness we have

$$
\operatorname{rk}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2}\right)=\operatorname{rk}_{2}\left(H_{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)-t=\operatorname{rk}_{2}\left(H^{2}\left(Q ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)-t=r(r+1) / 2-t
$$

Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $M$ is a closed, aspherical 3-manifold. Let $t$ denote the rank of the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times$ $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Set $r=\mathrm{rk}_{2} M$. Then for any integer $m \geq 0$ and any regular covering $\widetilde{M}$ of $M$ with covering group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{m}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{2} \widetilde{M} \geq(m+1) r-\frac{m(m+1)}{2}-t
$$

Proof. We set $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M)$. According to Lemma 3.4, the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2}$ has dimension $r(r+1) / 2-t$.

Let $N$ denote the subgroup of $\Gamma$ corresponding to the regular covering space $\widetilde{M}$. We have $\Gamma / N \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{m}$. Hence may write $N=E \Gamma_{1}$ for some $(r-m)$-generator subgroup $E$ of $\Gamma$. It
now follows from [23, Lemma 1.4] that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{rk}_{2} \widetilde{M} & =\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(E \Gamma_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{2}\right)-\frac{(r-m)(r-m-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{r(r+1)}{2}-t-\frac{(r-m)(r-m-1)}{2} \\
& =(m+1) r-\frac{m(m+1)}{2}-t
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Dehn filling and $k$-freeness

This section contains the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 1.2, which were stated in the Introduction.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $N$ is a compact 3-manifold whose boundary is a torus, that $F$ is a compact orientable 2-manifold with $\chi(F)<0$, and that $f: F \rightarrow N$ is a map such that $f(\partial F) \subset \partial N$, and such that $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ is injective. Then $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F, \partial F) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}(N, \partial N)$ is also injective.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that there is a path $a$ in $F$ which is not homotopic rel endpoints into $\partial F$, but that the path $f(a)$ is homotopic rel boundary into $\partial N$. The path $a$ determines a map $h: G \rightarrow F$ where $G$ is a certain connected graph with three edges and two vertices. If $a$ has both endpoints in the same component $b$ of $\partial F$ then $G$ is a "theta" graph; the restriction of $h$ to one edge is the path $a$ and the restriction to the union of the other two edges is a homeomorphism onto $b$. If $a$ has endpoints contained in two components $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ of $F$ then $G$ is an "eyeglass" graph; the restriction of $h$ to the separating edge is the path $a$ and the restriction to the union of the other two edges is a homeomorphism onto $b_{1} \cup b_{2}$. Since $F$ has genus $g>0$, the map $h$ induces an injection on $\pi_{1}$. But the composition $f \circ h$ is homotopic into $\partial N$, which is a torus, and hence the induced map on $\pi_{1}$ is not injective. It follows that $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ is not injective, contradicting the hypothesis.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Set $M=N(\alpha)$. It follows from the hypothesis that $M$ has a connected covering space $p: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi_{1}(\widetilde{M})$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed genus- $g$ orientable surface $\Sigma_{g}$. The compact core [22] of $\widetilde{M}$ is a compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold $K$ with $\pi_{1}(K) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Hence $K$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma_{g} \times[-1,1]$ by [15, Theorem 10.6]. In particular, there is a genus- $g$ surface $S \subset \widetilde{M}$ such that
the inclusion induces an isomorphism from $\pi_{1}(S)$ to $\pi_{1}(\widetilde{M})$.

We may write $N(\alpha)=N \cup T$, where $T$ is a solid torus with $T \cap M=\partial T=\partial M$, and the meridian disks of $T$ represent the slope $\alpha$. Set $\widetilde{T}=p^{-1}(T)$ and $\widetilde{N}=p^{-1}(N)$. We may choose $S$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { each component of } S \cap \widetilde{T} \text { is a meridian disk in } \widetilde{T} \text {. } \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $m$ denote the number of components of $S \cap \widetilde{T}$, and we suppose $S$ to be chosen, among all genus- $g$ closed surfaces in $\widetilde{M}$ for which (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) hold, so that $m$ is as small as possible. If $m=0$ then $h(S) \subset \widetilde{N}$, and so the fundamental group of the connected covering space $\widetilde{N}$ has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface group. It follows that $\pi_{1}(N)$ also has such a subgroup in this case.

Now suppose that $m>0$. Set $F=S \cap \widetilde{N}$, so that $F$ is a compact orientable surface of genus $g$, properly embedded in $\widetilde{N}$, with $\partial F \neq \emptyset$. If the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\widetilde{N})$ has a non-trivial kernel, it follows from Dehn's lemma and the loop theorem that there is a disk $D_{1} \subset \widetilde{N}$ such that $D_{1} \cap F=\partial D_{1}$, and such that $\partial D_{1}$ does not bound a disk in $F$. However, it follows from (4.1.1) that $\partial D_{1}$ does bound a disk $D \subset S$, which must contain at least one component of $S \cap \widetilde{T}$. If we set $S_{1}=\overline{S-D} \cup D_{1}$, then (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) still hold when $S$ is replaced by $S_{1}$, but $S_{1} \cap \widetilde{T}$ has at most $m-1$ components. This contradiction to the minimality of $m$ proves that $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\widetilde{N})$ is injective.

Hence if we set $f=p \mid F: F \rightarrow N$, then $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ is injective. But since $g>0$ we have $\chi(F)<0$, and it therefore follows from Proposition 4.1 that $f_{\sharp}: \pi_{1}(F, \partial F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N, \partial N)$ is also injective. Thus condition (i) of Definition 1.4 holds. Since $F=S \cap \widetilde{N}$, condition (ii) of 1.4 follows from 4.1.2. Hence $\alpha$ is a genus- $g$ singular boundary slope in this case.

Remark 4.2. While Theorem 1.5 is similar to [20, Proposition 1.1], neither of the two results contains the other. Oertel's result assumes a weaker hypothesis on the closed surface $F$, since it only requires that essential simple closed curves and arcs on $S$ have homotopically non-trivial images. On the other hand, the conclusion of [20, Proposition 1.1] is also weaker since it does not imply the injectivity of the induced map on relative fundamental groups.

Proposition 4.3. Let $M$ be a simple (2.2), closed, orientable 3-manifold, and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Suppose that for some prime $p$ we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq k+2$, and that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for any integer $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$. Then $\pi_{1}(M)$ is $k$-free.

Proof. This is the same result as [23, Proposition 1.8, case (b)], except that in the latter result, instead of assuming only that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface group for any integer $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$, one makes the superficially stronger assumption that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface group for any integer $g$ with $0<g<k$. However, if $\pi_{1}(M)$ does have a subgroup $G$ isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface
group for some integer $g$ with $0<g<k$, then we must have $g>1$ since $M$ is simple; and $G$ has a subgroup $H$ of index

$$
d=\left[\frac{k-2}{g-1}\right] \geq 1
$$

where [•] is the greatest integer function. It follows that $H \leq \pi_{1}(M)$ is a genus- $g^{\prime}$ surface group, where $g^{\prime}=d(g-1)+1$ satisfies $k / 2<g^{\prime}<k$. This contradicts the hypothesis of Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.4. Let $M$ be a simple, closed, orientable 3-manifold, let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and suppose that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for any integer $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$. Suppose also that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq k+1$, and the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at most $k-1$. Then $\pi_{1}(M)$ is $k$-free.

Proof. Set $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and suppose that $\Phi$ is a subgroup of $\pi_{1}(M)$ whose rank is at most $k$. Since $r \geq k+1$, some index- 2 subgroup $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of $\pi_{1}(M)$ contains $\Phi$. Let $\widetilde{M}$ denote the covering space of $M$ defined by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. It follows from the case $m=1$ of Proposition 3.5 that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 2 r-1-t
$$

where $t$ denotes the rank of the subspace of $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Since by hypothesis we have $t \leq k-1$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 2 r-k \geq k+2
$$

It therefore follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cong \pi_{1}(\widetilde{M})$ is $k$-free. Hence $\Phi$ is a free group.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. If $\alpha$ is any slope on $\partial N$, let us denote by $N(\alpha)$ the closed manifold obtained from $N$ by the Dehn filling corresponding to $\alpha$.

Let us fix a prime $p$ such that either
(a) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq k+2$ for some prime $p$, or
(b) $p=2$, the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space $H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at least $k+1$, and the subspace of $H^{2}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ spanned by the image of the cup product $H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has rank at most $k-2$.

Let us fix a basis $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ of $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\lambda$ lies in the kernel of the natural homomorphism $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. For every integer $n$, let $\alpha_{n}$ denote the slope defined by the primitive class $\lambda+p n \mu \in H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z})$. If $i: N \rightarrow N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ denotes the inclusion homomorphism, then $i_{*}: H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $i^{*}: H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ are isomorphisms, whereas $i^{*}: H^{2}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is surjective and has a 1-dimensional kernel.

It follows from [13, Theorem 1], for any given integer $g>0$ there are only finitely many genus- $g$ singular boundary slopes in $\partial N$. Hence there is an integer $n_{0} \geq 0$ such that for every $n$ with $|n| \geq n_{0}$ and every $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$, the slope $\alpha_{n}$ fails to be a genus- $g$ singular boundary slope. Since by hypothesis $\pi_{1}(N)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface group for any integer $g$ with $k / 2<g<k$, it follows from Theorem 1.5 that when $|n| \geq n_{0}$ and $k / 2<g<k$, the group $\pi(N(\alpha))$ has no subgroup isomorphic to a genus- $g$ surface group.

If (a) holds, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \geq k+2
$$

for every $n$. It therefore follows from Proposition 4.3 (with $M=N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ ) that $\pi_{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is $k$-free whenever $|n| \geq n_{0}$.

Now suppose that (b) holds. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq k+1
$$

for every $n$. Consider the commutative diagram

in which the homomorphisms $c$ and $c_{n}$ are defined by cup product. Since $i^{*} \otimes i^{*}$ is an isomorphism, we have

$$
c\left(H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)=i^{*} \circ c_{n}\left(H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Since $i^{*}$ is surjective and has a 1-dimensional kernel, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} c\left(H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} c_{n}\left(H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right)-1
$$

Condition (b) gives

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} c\left(H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right) \leq k-2,
$$

and hence

$$
c_{n}\left(H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right) \leq k-1 .
$$

It now follows from Proposition 4.4 (with $\left.M=N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ that $\pi_{1}\left(N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is $k$-free whenever $|n| \geq n_{0}$.

Remark 4.5. In the proof given above, we quoted Theorem 1 of Hass, Wang and Zhou's paper [13] for the fact that there are only finitely many genus- $g$ singular boundary slopes in $\partial N$ for any given $g>0$. Restricting the genus is essential here: there exist examples [5] of compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifolds whose boundary is a single torus, in which there are infinitely many singular boundary slopes.

The result proved by Hatcher in [14] implies that if one considers only embedded surfaces, rather than singular ones, a finiteness result holds without restricting genus. A slope $\beta$ in $\partial N$, where $N$ is a compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold whose boundar is a torus, is called a boundary slope if there is a connected orientable surface $F$ with non-empty boundary, properly embedded in $N$ and not boundary-parallel, such that the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ is injective and all components of $\partial F$ represent the slope $\beta$. The main theorem of [14] implies that there are only finitely many boundary slopes in $\partial N$.

## 5. $k$-Free groups and generating sets

This brief section provides the algebra needed for the material in the following sections.
We shall denote by $|X|$ the cardinality of a set $X$. If $S$ is a subset of a group $\Gamma$ we shall denote by $\langle S\rangle$ the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $S$.
We shall say that a subset $S$ of a group $\Gamma$ is independent (or that the elements of $S$ are independent) if $\langle S\rangle$ is a free group with basis $S$.
5.1. It is a basic fact in the theory of free groups [19, Vol. 2, p. 59] that a finite set $S \subset \Gamma$ is independent if and only if $\langle S\rangle$ is free of rank $|S|$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated group which is $k$-free but not free, that $X$ is a generating set for $\Gamma$, and that $T$ is a finite independent subset of $X$ with $|T| \leq k$. Then there is an independent subset $S$ of $X$ such that $T \subset S$ and $|S|=k$.

Proof. Set $m=|T|$. If $m=k$ we may take $S=T$. If $m<k$ we shall show that there is an independent subset $T^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $T \subset T^{\prime} \subset X$ and $\left|T^{\prime}\right|=m+1$. The result will then follow at once by induction.
Let us write $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are distinct and are indexed in such a way that $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. For each $j$ with $0 \leq j \leq n$, let $A_{j}$ denote the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}$. Since $A_{n}=\Gamma$ is $k$-free but not free, it must have rank $>k$. On the other hand, since $T$ is independent, $A_{m}=\langle T\rangle$ is a free group of rank $m<k$. Hence there is an index $p$ with $m \leq p<n$ such that $A_{p}$ has rank $\leq m$ and $A_{p+1}$ has rank $>m$. Set $\xi=x_{p+1}$. Since $A_{p+1}=\left\langle A_{p}, \xi\right\rangle$ we must have $\operatorname{rank} A_{p+1} \leq 1+\operatorname{rank} A_{p}$. Hence $\operatorname{rank} A_{p}=m$ and $\operatorname{rank} A_{p+1}=m+1$.

Since $\Gamma$ is $k$-free and $m<k$, the subgroups $A_{p}$ and $A_{p+1}$ are free. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ be a basis for $A_{p}$. Then the rank- $(m+1)$ free group $A_{p+1}$ is generated by the elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}, \xi$, which must therefore form a basis for $A_{p+1}$ (see 5.1). This shows that $A_{p+1}$ is a free product $A_{p} *\langle\xi\rangle$, and that the factor $\langle\xi\rangle$ is infinite cyclic. Hence the subgroup $\left\langle A_{m}, \xi\right\rangle$ of $A_{p+1}$ is a free product $A_{m} *\langle\xi\rangle$. Since $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ freely generates $A_{m}$ it follows that the set $T^{\prime}=T \cup\{\xi\}$ has cardinality $m+1$ and freely generates $A_{p+1}$. In particular, $T^{\prime}$ is independent.

## 6. Distant points and $k$-Freeness

The two main results of this section, Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.3, were discussed in the Introduction.

Proposition 6.1. Let $k$ and $m$ be integers $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq m \leq k$. Suppose that $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ is a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold such that $\pi_{1}(M) \cong \Gamma$ is $k$-free. Let $q: \mathbb{H}^{3} \rightarrow M$ denote the quotient map. Suppose that $N \subset M$ is a closed set such that $q^{-1}(N)$ is connected. Let $P$ be a point of $M$, let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}$ be independent elements of $\pi_{1}(M, P)$ represented by loops $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m}$ based at $P$, and let $\lambda_{j}$ denote the length of $\ell_{j}$. Then there is a point $Q \in N$ such that $\rho=\operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{k-m}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Proof. Set

$$
\rho=\max _{Q \in N} \operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q) .
$$

Fix a point $\widetilde{P} \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$ with $q(\widetilde{P})=P$. Then $N \subset q(B)$, where $B$ denotes the open ball of radius $\rho$ centered at $\widetilde{P}$. Hence if we set $\widetilde{N}=q^{-1}(N)$, we have

$$
\widetilde{N} \subset \Gamma \cdot B \doteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(B)
$$

Let $X_{0}$ denote the set of all elements $\eta \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\eta(\widetilde{P}), \widetilde{P})<2 \rho$. Note that the triangle inequality gives $\eta \in X_{0}$ for any $\eta \in \Gamma$ such that $\eta(B) \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

We claim that $X_{0}$ generates $\Gamma$. To show this, we set $\Gamma_{0}=\left\langle X_{0}\right\rangle \leq \Gamma$, and choose a system $Y$ of left coset representatives for $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\Gamma$. For each $y \in Y$, we set $\widetilde{N}_{y}=\widetilde{N} \cap y \Gamma_{0} \cdot B$, where

$$
y \Gamma_{0} \cdot B=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} y \gamma(B)
$$

Then

$$
\widetilde{N}=\bigcup_{y \in Y} \widetilde{N}_{y}
$$

If $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of $Y$ such that $\widetilde{N}_{y} \cap \widetilde{N}_{y^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$, then for some $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}$ we have $y \gamma(B) \cap y^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}(B) \neq \emptyset$, so that $B \cap \gamma^{-1} y^{-1} y^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}(B) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\gamma^{-1} y^{-1} y^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}$ and hence that $y^{-1} y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}$, a contradiction since $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ represent distinct left cosets of $\Gamma_{0}$. This shows that the sets $\widetilde{N}_{y}$ are pairwise disjoint as $y$ ranges over $Y$. Since these sets are open in the subspace topology of the connected set $\widetilde{N}$, we must have $\widetilde{N}_{y}=\widetilde{N}$ for some $y \in Y$. Since $P \in N$ it follows that $\widetilde{N}_{y}$ contains the $\Gamma$-orbit of $\widetilde{P}$; and as $\Gamma$ acts freely on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, this implies that $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$, as claimed.

By hypothesis there exist $m$ independent elements $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}$ of $\pi_{1}(M, P)$ which are represented by piecewise smooth loops of respective lengths $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ based at $P$. If we choose a
point $\widetilde{P} \in q^{-1}(P)$, we may regard $\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}, \widetilde{P}\right)$ as a based covering space of $(M, P)$, and identify $\operatorname{tgroup} \Gamma$ with $\pi_{1}(M, P)$. Then for $j=1, \ldots, m$ we have $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}, \xi_{j}(\widetilde{P})\right) \leq \lambda_{j}$.
Let us set $X=X_{0} \cup\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right\}$. We wish to apply Proposition 5.2 , taking $k$ and $\Gamma$ to be defined as above, and taking $T=\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right\}$ and $X=T \cup X_{0}$. By hypothesis the finitely generated group $\Gamma \cong \pi_{1}(M)$ is $k$-free. To see that it is not free, we need only observe that $H_{3}(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Z})$ has rank 1 because $M$ is orientable, closed and aspherical. Since $X_{0}$ generates $\Gamma$, in particular the set $X=\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right\} \cup X_{0}$ generates $\Gamma$. We have $T \subset X$ by definition, and $T$ is independent by hypothesis. Hence Proposition 5.2 gives an independent subset $S$ of $\Gamma$ such that $T \subset S \subset X$ and $|S|=k$. We may write $S=\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right\}$, where $\xi_{m+1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}$ are elements of $X_{0}$.

Since $S$ is independent, it follows from [4, Theorem 6.1], together with the main result of [1] or [9], that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1+e^{d_{j}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

where $d_{j}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}, \xi_{j} \cdot \widetilde{P}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$.
Since $\xi_{m+1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}$ belong to $X_{0}$, we have $d_{j} \leq 2 \rho$ for $j=m+1, \ldots, k$. We have seen that $d_{j} \leq \lambda_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Hence

$$
\frac{k-m}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

and the proposition is proved.
Corollary 6.2. Let $k$ and $m$ be integers $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq m \leq k$. Suppose that $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ is a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold such that $\pi_{1}(M) \cong \Gamma$ is $k$-free, and let $\mu$ be a Margulis number for $M$. Let $P$ be a point of $M$, let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}$ be independent elements of $\pi_{1}(M, P)$ represented by loops $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m}$ based at $P$, and let $\lambda_{j}$ denote the length of $\ell_{j}$. Then there is a point $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\mu)$ such that $\rho=\operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{k-m}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Proof. Write $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$, and let $q: \mathbb{H}^{3} \rightarrow M$ denote the quotient map. As we pointed out in 2.6, the set $\widetilde{M}_{\text {thick }}(\mu)=q^{-1}\left(M_{\text {thick }}(\mu)\right)$ is connected. Hence the assertions of the corollary follow from Proposition 6.1 if we take $N=M_{\text {thick }}(\mu)$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, let $M$ be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3manifold, and suppose that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is $k$-free. Let $\mu$ be a Margulis number for $M$. Let c be a closed geodesic in $M$, let $l$ denote the length of $c$, and suppose that $l<\mu$. Let $R$ denote the radius of the maximal (embedded) tube about $c$, and set

$$
R^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\cosh (2 R) \cosh \left(\frac{l}{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Then there exist points $P \in c$ and $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\mu)$ such that $\rho=\operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{k-2}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{l}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 R^{\prime}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Proof. Let us choose a component $A$ of $q^{-1}(c)$. Then $A$ is the axis of a loxodromic isometry $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$, which has translation length $l$ and generates a maximal cyclic subgroup $C_{0}$ of $\Gamma$.

Since $R$ is the radius of a maximal tube about $c$, there is an element $\eta$ of $\Gamma-C_{0}$ such that the open cylinders $N(R, A)$ and $N(R, \eta \cdot A)$ are disjoint but have intersecting closures. Hence the minimum distance between the lines $A$ and $\eta \cdot A$ is $2 R$. Let $\widetilde{P}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{\prime}$ denote the respective points of intersection of $\eta \cdot A$ and $A$ with their common perpendicular, so that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime}\right)=2 R$. Let $P, P^{\prime} \in M$ denote the images of $\widetilde{P}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{\prime}$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{H}^{3} \rightarrow M$. Since $\eta^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{P} \in A$, the $C_{0}$-orbit of $\eta^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{P}$ contains a point $\widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}=\gamma_{0}^{m} \eta^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{P}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq l / 2$. Setting $d=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\cosh d=\cosh (2 R) \cosh \operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}^{\prime}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \cosh (2 R) \cosh (l / 2)
$$

and hence $d \leq 2 R^{\prime}$.
We regard $\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as a based covering space of $(M, P)$, and identify the deck transformation group $\Gamma$ with $\pi_{1}(M, P)$. Since $\eta \notin C_{0}$, the elements $\eta \gamma_{0}^{-m}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ of $\pi_{1}(M, P)$ do not commute, and since $\Gamma$ is in particular 2-free, they are independent. Since $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}, \widetilde{P}\right)=d$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{0} \cdot \widetilde{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)=l$, we may apply Corollary refbig radius corollary with $m=2, \xi_{1}=\gamma_{0}$, $\xi_{2}=\eta \gamma_{0}^{-m}, \lambda_{1}=l$ and $\lambda_{2}=d$, to obtain a point $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\mu)$ such that $\rho=\operatorname{dist}_{M}(P, Q)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{k-2}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{l}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{d}} \geq \frac{k-2}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{l}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 R^{\prime}}}
$$

## 7. DISTANT POINTS FROM MAXIMAL CUSP NEIGHBORHOODS

We begin by reviewing a version of W. Thurston's Dehn Surgery Theorem and proving a result, Proposition 7.2, which is a consequence of the proof of Thurston's theorem. The proofs of Thurston's result that have appeared vary in the level of detail as well as in the strength of the statement, but most of them are based on the same ideas. For the sake of definiteness we refer the reader to the proof given by Petronio and Porti in [21] which seems to contain the most complete and elementary treatment of a version of Thurston's theorem that is adequate for our purposes.

Suppose that $M$ is a a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold with cusps and that $M^{\prime}$ is a closed manifold obtained by Dehn filling the compact core of $M$. Following [6], we shall say that $M^{\prime}$ is a hyperbolic Dehn filling of $M$ provided that $M^{\prime}$ admits a hyperbolic structure in which the core curves of the filling solid tori are isotopic to geodesics, which we shall call core geodesics.

While the general versions of Thurston's Theorem apply to finite-volume hyperbolic 3manifolds with arbitrarily many cusps, we are only concerned here with the case of one cusp. We record the following statement, which follows from any of the various versions of Thurston's Theorem.

Theorem 7.1 (Thurston). Let $M$ be a one-cusped complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then all but finitely many Dehn fillings of the compact core of $M$ are hyperbolic Dehn fillings of $M$.

Here is the result whose proof is extracted from that of Thurston's theorem. The deepest conclusions are (3) and (4). Conclusion (3) was used in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.3]. While we presume that all of the conclusions are well known to experts in geometric convergence, conclusion (4) was new to us. In the proof we have attempted to follow the terminology used in [18] as closely as possible.

Proposition 7.2. Let $M_{\infty}$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp and let $\left(M_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of distinct hyperbolic Dehn fillings of $M_{\infty}$. Let $T_{j}$ be a maximal tube about the core geodesic for the Dehn filling $M_{j}$ and let $H$ be a maximal standard neighborhood of the cusp in $M$. Then
(1) $\operatorname{vol} M_{j} \rightarrow \operatorname{vol} M_{\infty}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
(2) the length of the core geodesic of $M_{j}$ tends to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
(3) $\operatorname{vol} T_{j} \rightarrow \operatorname{vol} H$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$;
(4) if a given positive number $\lambda$ has the property that it is a Margulis number for each $M_{j}$, then $\lambda$ is also a Margulis number for $M_{\infty}$.

Proof. To start, we recall some of the statements proved in [21] in the course of proving Thurston's theorem. We let $U$ denote the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\rho_{0}: \pi_{1}\left(M_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow$ $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a discrete faithful representation. By a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron we mean the convex hull in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ of a 4-tuple of distinct points on the sphere at infinity of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. (We call these points vertices, although they are not contained in the tetrahedron.) We say that a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron is flat if it is contained in a plane. If the vertices of a nonflat hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron are given an ordering, then the cross-ratio of the 4 -tuple of vertices has positive imaginary part if and only if the ordering is consistent with the orientation that the tetrahedron inherits from hyperbolic space. There is a smooth function, which we shall denote $V(w)$, that is defined on $\mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$ and has the property that if the vertices of a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron $\Delta$ are ordered, and if $w$ is the cross-ratio of the 4-tuple of vertices, then $V(w)=\operatorname{vol} \Delta$ if $\operatorname{Im} w \geq 0$ and $V(w)=-\operatorname{vol} \Delta$ if $\operatorname{Im} w \leq 0$.
For $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ we give $M_{j}$ the orientation that it inherits as a quotient of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.
Let $\widetilde{M}_{\infty}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{j}$ denote the universal covers of $M_{\infty}$ and $M_{j}$ respectively. We will set $\Gamma=$ $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\infty}\right)$.

The results that we need from [21] are summarized below:

- For some $\pi_{1}(M)$-equivariant topological ideal triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ there exists a $\rho_{0}$-equivariant developing map $D_{0}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{3}$ that maps each ideal 3 -simplex of $\mathcal{T}$ to a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron and preserves the orientation of each ideal 3-simplex which does not have a flat image. Moreover $D_{0}$ is a homeomorphism on the union of the interiors of the ideal 3 -simplices with non-flat image.
- $\rho_{0}$ lies in a continuous family (with respect to the complex topology on the representation variety) of representations $\rho_{z}: \Gamma \rightarrow P S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, for $z \in U$. This family has the property that, given an arbitrarily small neighborhood $O$ of 0 , all but finitely many Dehn fillings on $M$ are realized as hyperbolic Dehn fillings by hyperbolic manifolds of the form $\mathbb{H}^{3} / \rho_{z}(\Gamma)$, for $z \in O$.
- for each $z \in U$ there is a $\rho_{z}$-equivariant developing map $D_{z}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{3}$ which sends each tetrahedron in $\mathcal{T}$ to a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron. Moreover the cross-ratios of the image tetrahedra vary continously with $z$.

According to the second result above we may assume, after deleting finitely many terms from the sequence $\left(M_{j}\right)$, that there are complex numbers $z_{j} \in \mathrm{U}$ such that $M_{j}=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \rho_{z_{j}}(\Gamma)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n}$ be a system of distinct representatives for the $\Gamma$ orbits of ideal 3 -simplices in $\mathcal{T}$. Order the vertices of each $\Delta_{i}$ in a way that is consistent with the orientation inherited from $M_{\infty}$. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $z \in U$ let $w_{i}(z)$ denote the cross-ratio of the vertices of $D_{z}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$, with the ordering determined by the ordering of the vertices of $\Delta_{i}$. The results above then imply that the function

$$
\mathcal{V}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(w_{i}(z)\right)
$$

has the property that $V\left(z_{j}\right)=\operatorname{vol} M_{j}$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is continuous, this implies conclusion (1).
The continuity of the family $\rho_{z}$ implies, in the terminology of [18], that the Kleinian groups $\Gamma_{j}=\rho_{z_{j}}(\Gamma)$ converge algebraically to the Kleinian group $\Gamma_{\infty}=\rho_{0}(\Gamma)$. In particular, there are surjective homomorphisms $\phi_{j}: \Gamma_{\infty} \rightarrow \Gamma_{j}$ such that each element $\gamma$ of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is the limit of the sequence $\left(\phi_{j}(\gamma)\right)$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ we shall let $p_{j}: \mathbb{H}^{3} \rightarrow M_{j}$ denote the quotient map.

We fix a base point in the boundary of a compact core $N$ of $M$, and let $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ denote the image of $\pi_{1}(\partial N)$ under the inclusion homomorphism. Set $\Lambda_{\infty}=\rho_{0}(\Lambda)$ and $\Lambda_{j}=\rho_{j}(\Lambda)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\Lambda_{\infty}$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ and the groups $\Lambda_{j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are cyclic groups generated by a loxodromic isometry.

To prove conclusion (2), for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $l_{j}$ denote the length of the core geodesic of $M_{j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $g_{j}$ denote a loxodromic isometry of translation length $l_{j}$ that generates $\Lambda_{j}$.

Let us fix, arbitrarily, a non-trivial element $\gamma_{0}$ of $\Lambda$. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)=g_{j}^{m_{j}}$ for some $m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the Dehn fillings in the sequence are distinct, there is at most one index $j$ for which $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ is the identity. In particular we have $m_{j} \neq 0$ for large $j$, so that $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ is loxodromic with translation length $\left|m_{j}\right| l_{j} \geq l_{j}$.
On the other hand, algebraic convergence implies that $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ approaches the parabolic isometry $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $\left(\operatorname{trace} \rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \rightarrow 4$, so that the translation length of $\rho_{z_{j}}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)$ approaches 0 . Hence $l_{j} \rightarrow 0$, which is conclusion (2).

Our proofs of conclusions (3) and (4) depend on the techniques of [18]. It follows from [18, Proposition 3.8] that algebraic convergence implies polyhedral convergence, for the sequence of non-elementary groups $\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ as well as for the sequence of elementary groups $\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see [18, Remark 3.10]). We will describe in some detail what is meant by polyhedral convergence of the sequence $\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$, and introduce some notation that will be used later. An analogous description applies to the sequence $\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)$. Consider a point $\widetilde{P} \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$, chosen from the dense set of points whose associated Dirichlet domains for the groups $\Gamma_{\infty}$ and $\Gamma_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are generic in the sense of [18]. For $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ let us set $P_{j}=p_{j}(\widetilde{P})$, and $W_{j}(r)=\overline{N\left(r, P_{j}\right)} \subset M_{j}$ for each $r>0$. We denote by $Z_{j}$ the Dirichlet domain for $\Gamma_{j}$ centered at $\widetilde{P}$. The truncated Dirichlet domain $Z_{j}^{\prime}=Z_{j} \cap \overline{N(r, \widetilde{P})}$ (a polyhedral set having some faces that are planar and some that lie in a sphere) is a fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_{j}$ on $p_{j}^{-1}\left(W_{j}(r)\right)$. To say that $\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges polyhedrally to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ means that for any sufficiently large positive number $r$, say for $r \geq r_{1}$, there exists $J_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $j>J_{r}$ the polyhedral sets $Z_{j}^{\prime}$ and $Z_{\infty}^{\prime}$ have isomorphic combinatorial structures, with face-pairings that correspond under the homomorphism $\phi_{j}$. Moreover, for $j>J_{r}$, there are positive numbers $\epsilon_{j}$ tending to 0 and homeomorphisms $g_{j}: Z_{\infty}^{\prime} \rightarrow Z_{j}^{\prime}$ which preserve vertices, edges and faces, commute with the face-pairings, and satisfy $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, g_{j}(x)\right)<\epsilon_{j}$.

It follows from [18, Proposition 4.7] that when $r \geq r_{1}$, the set $\overline{M_{\infty}-W_{\infty}(r)}$ is a closed subset of $M_{\infty}$ that is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[1, \infty)$, and that for $j>J_{r}$ the set $M_{j}-W_{j}(r)$ is a topological regular neighborhood of the core geodesic of the Dehn filling.

When $r \geq r_{1}$ it follows from polyhedral convergence that for $j>J_{r}$ there exist bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms $f_{j, r}: W_{\infty}(r) \rightarrow W_{j}(r)$ whose Lipschitz constants tend to 1 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. (In general we shall write $f_{j}$ for $f_{j, r}$, the choice of $r$ being clear from the context.) We may take the homeomorphisms $f_{j}$ to have the following property:
for any $x \in W_{\infty}(r)$ there exist $\widetilde{x}_{\infty} \in p_{\infty}^{-1}(x)$ and $\widetilde{x}_{j} \in p_{j}^{-1}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$ with $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}, \widetilde{x}_{j}\right)<\epsilon_{j}$.
Given a subgroup $G$ of $\Gamma_{j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ we define a function $\mu_{G}: M_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mu_{G}(x)=\min \{\operatorname{dist}(\widetilde{x}, \gamma(\widetilde{x})\}$ where the minimum is taken over all elements $\gamma$ of $G$ and all points $\widetilde{x} \in p_{j}^{-1}(x)$. Note that $\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}(x)$ is twice the injectivity radius of $M_{j}$ at $p_{j}(x)$.

We now claim:
7.2.1. For any $r \geq r_{1}$ and for any point $x \in W_{\infty}(r)$ we have $\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right) \rightarrow \mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x)$ and $\mu_{\Lambda_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right) \rightarrow \mu_{\Lambda_{\infty}}(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove the first assertion of 7.2.1, let $r \geq r_{1}$ be given, and let $x$ be a given point in $W_{\infty}(r)$. Let $\widetilde{x}$ and $\widetilde{x}_{j}$ be as above. Then, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}-\{1\}$, we have $\widetilde{x}_{j} \rightarrow \widetilde{x}_{\infty}$ and $\phi_{j}(\gamma) \rightarrow \gamma$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}, \phi_{j}(\gamma)\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}, \gamma\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}\right)\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\phi_{j}(\gamma) \neq 1$ for sufficiently large $j$. Hence if we choose $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}-\{1\}$ so as to minimize $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}, \gamma\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}\right)\right)$ then for any $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}, \gamma\left(\widetilde{x}_{\infty}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}, \phi_{j}(\gamma)\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}\right)\right)-\epsilon \geq \mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)-\epsilon
$$

It follows that $\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x) \geq \lim \sup \mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$.
Next we shall show that $\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x) \leq \liminf \mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$. It will simplify the notation if we assume, as we may by passing to a subsequence, that the sequence $\left(\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)\right)$ converges. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let us choose $\gamma_{j} \in \Gamma_{\infty}$ such that $\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}, \phi_{j}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}\right)\right)$. For sufficiently large $j$ we have $\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)<1+\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x)$. In particular, this shows that the set $\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}, \phi_{j}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{j}\right)\right) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded and hence that the set $\left\{\phi\left(\gamma_{j}\right) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a bounded subset of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. We now appeal to [18, Proposition 3.10$]$ which states that a sequence of non-elementary Kleinian groups converges polyhedrally if and only if it converges geometrically, and that the geometric and polyhedral limits are the same whenever they exist. Thus $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is the geometric limit of $\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$. Now the definition of geometric convergence ([18, Definition 3.2]) implies in particular that if we are given any subsequence $\Gamma_{j_{k}}$ of $\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ and elements $g_{j_{k}} \in \Gamma_{j_{k}}$ such that $g_{j_{k}} \rightarrow h \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, then $h$ must be an element of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Since $\left\{\phi\left(\gamma_{j}\right) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded we may choose a subsequence $\left(\gamma_{j_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(\gamma_{j}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(\gamma_{j_{k}}\right) \rightarrow h \in \Gamma_{\infty}$. We then have

$$
\mu_{\Gamma_{j_{k}}}\left(f_{j_{k}}(x)\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{x}_{j_{k}}, \phi_{j_{k}}\left(\gamma_{j_{k}}\right)\left(\widetilde{x}_{j_{k}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(\widetilde{x}, \gamma(x)) \geq \mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x) .
$$

Thus, under our assumption that $\left(\mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)\right.$ converges, we have shown that $\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x) \leq$ $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$. Dropping this assumption, we conclude in the general case that $\mu_{\Gamma_{\infty}}(x) \leq$ $\lim _{\inf }^{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\Gamma_{j}}\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$, as required to complete the proof of the first assertion of 7.2.1.

The proof of the second assertion of 7.2.1 is almost the same as the proof of the first assertion, with the sequence $\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ replaced by the sequence $\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)$. The only difference occurs in the step where we applied [18, Proposition 3.10], which applies only to a sequence of non-elmentary Kleinian groups. For the proof of the second assertion, we appeal instead to [18, Remark 3.10], which gives the same conclusion for the special sequence $\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)$ of elementary Kleinian groups. Thus 7.2.1 is proved.

For the rest of the argument, to unify the notation, we set $T_{\infty}=H \subset M_{\infty}$. We next claim:
7.2.2. For each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, the function $\mu_{\Lambda_{j}}$ takes a constant value $I_{j}$ on the frontier of $T_{j}$. On the open set $T_{j}, \mu_{\Lambda_{j}}$ is a monotone decreasing function of distance from the frontier.

To prove 7.2 .2 when $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we may apply the formula (2.5.2), which implies that for $z \in$ $p_{j}^{-1}\left(T_{j}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \mu_{\Lambda_{j}}(z)=\min _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\cosh n l+\left(\sinh ^{2} r\right)(\cosh n l-\cos n \theta)\right) \tag{7.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ and $\theta$ denote the twist angle of a generator of $\Lambda_{j}$ and $r$ is the distance from $z$ to the axis of $\Lambda_{j}$. Since the factor $\cosh n l-\cos n \theta$ increases monotonically with $n$ for large $n$, the minimum over $\mathbb{N}$ in (7.2.3) may be replaced by a minimum over a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Thus $\mu_{\Lambda_{j}}(z)$ is the minimum of a finite collection of smooth, monotone increasing functions of $r$, and is therefore monotone increasing and piecewise smooth. This proves 7.2.2 for $j \in \mathbb{N}$; for $j=\infty$ it is similar but easier.

It follows from 7.2.2 that with the exception of the core curve of $T_{j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the level sets of the $\mu_{\Lambda_{j}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ are smooth embedded tori. For any $r \geq r_{1}$ and any $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ we may characterize the submanifold $T_{j}^{\prime}=T_{j} \cap W_{j}(r)$ as the set $\left\{x \in W_{j}(r) \mid \mu_{\Lambda_{j}}(x)<I_{j}\right\}$. The frontier of $T_{j}^{\prime}$ in $W_{j}(r)$ is a singular torus (with self-tangencies) which is a component of the level set $\left\{x \mid \mu_{\Lambda_{\infty}}(x)=I_{j}\right\}$. Since the preimage of $T_{j}^{\prime}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{j}$ has two distinct components whose closures meet, there exists an element of $\Gamma_{j}$ which does not commute with the image of $\pi_{1}\left(T_{j}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{j}$, but is contained in the image of $\pi_{1}\left(\overline{T_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{j}$. It follows that
7.2.4. the image of $\pi_{1}\left(\overline{T_{j}^{\prime}}\right)$ in $\pi_{1}\left(W_{j}(r)\right)$ is not carried (up to conjugacy) by the frontier torus $\partial W_{j}(r)$.

For the proof of (3), we consider any subsequence $\left(M_{j_{n}}\right)$ of $\left(M_{j}\right)$ such that the manifolds $X_{n}=f_{j_{n}}^{-1}\left(\overline{T_{j_{n}}^{\prime}}\right)$, which are contained in the compact set $W_{\infty}\left(r_{1}\right)$, converge in the Hausdorff topology. The limit is then a compact connected subset $X_{\infty}$ of $W_{\infty}\left(r_{1}\right)$ which contains the frontier of $W_{\infty}\left(r_{1}\right)$. Moreover it follows from 7.2.1 that $X_{\infty}=\left\{x \mid \mu_{\Lambda_{\infty}}(x) \leq I\right\}$, where $I=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{j_{n}}$.

Next we will show that $I=I_{\infty}$, and hence that $X_{\infty}=\overline{T_{\infty}^{\prime}}$. If $I<I_{\infty}$ then $X_{\infty}$ is a submanifold contained in the interior of $T_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and hence, for small $\epsilon>0$, the image of $\pi_{1}\left(N\left(\epsilon, X_{\infty}\right)\right)$ is carried by $\partial W_{\infty}(r)$. However, for sufficiently large $j$, we have that $X_{j} \subset N\left(\epsilon, X_{\infty}\right)$ and hence that the image of $\pi_{1}\left(X_{j}\right)$ is carried by $\partial W_{\infty}(r)$. Since $f_{j}$ is a homeomorphism and $f_{j}\left(X_{j}\right)=T_{j}^{\prime}$, this contradicts 7.2.4. If $I>I_{\infty}$ then, for sufficiently large $j, f_{j}\left(\overline{T_{\infty}^{\prime}}\right)$ is contained in the interior of $T_{j}^{\prime}$. Thus the image of $\pi_{1}\left(f_{j}\left(\overline{T_{\infty}^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ is carried by $\partial W_{j}(r)$, which again contradicts 7.2.4.

Since the manifolds $X_{n}$ are converging in the Hausdorff topology to $\overline{T_{\infty}^{\prime}}$ we have vol $X_{n} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{vol} T_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since the Lipschitz constants of the homeomorphisms $f_{j_{n}}$ are converging to 1 , we also have $\operatorname{vol} T_{j_{n}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{vol} T_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(W_{j_{n}}\left(r_{1}\right)-T_{j_{n}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{vol}\left(W_{\infty}\left(r_{1}\right)-T_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(M_{j_{n}}-T_{j_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(W_{j_{n}}\left(r_{1}\right)-T_{j_{n}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{vol}\left(W_{\infty}\left(r_{1}\right)-T_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(M_{\infty}-T_{\infty}\right)
$$

In view of conclusion (1) it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol} T_{j_{n}}=\operatorname{vol} T_{\infty}$.
Thus we have shown that for any subsequence $\left(M_{j_{n}}\right)$ of $\left(M_{j}\right)$ such that $\left(X_{n}\right)$ converges in the Hausdorff topology, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol} T_{j_{n}}=\operatorname{vol} T_{\infty}$. Since every subsequence of $\left(M_{j}\right)$ contains a subsequence ( $M_{j_{n}}$ ) of this type, conclusion (3) follows.

Assume, for the proof of conclusion (4), that $\lambda$ is a Margulis number for each $M_{j}$. It suffices to show that $\lambda-2 \delta$ is a Margulis number for $M_{\infty}$ for any given $\delta$ with $0<\delta \leq \delta_{0}$.

For a sufficiently large $r>r_{1}$, the frontier torus of $W_{\infty}(r)$ is contained in the $\lambda$-thin part of $M_{\infty}$. We fix a number $r=r_{2}$ with this property, and denote the frontier torus of $W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right)$ by $F$. We consider a positive number $\delta$ which is small enough to ensure that $F$ is contained in the $(\lambda-2 \delta)$-thin part of $M_{\infty}$, which we shall denote by $\Theta$.

By 7.2.1 and the compactness of $W_{\infty}$ we may fix $K \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\operatorname{inj}_{M_{\infty}}(x) \geq \operatorname{inj}_{M_{K}}\left(f_{K}(x)\right)-\delta$ for all $x \in W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right)$. Hence if $\Psi$ denotes the $\lambda$-thin part of $M_{K}$, we have $f_{K}\left(\Theta \cap W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right)\right) \subset \Psi$, so that $\Theta$ is contained in the set $Q=f_{K}^{-1}\left(\Psi \cap W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left(M_{\infty}-W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right)\right) \subset M_{\infty}$.

We are required to show that $\lambda-2 \delta$ is a Margulis number for $M_{\infty}$. According to the discussion in 2.5, this is equivalent to showing that if $\theta$ is a component of $\Theta$, then $\pi_{1}(\theta)$ has abelian image in $\Gamma \pi_{1}\left(M_{\infty}\right)$. Hence it suffices to show that every component of $Q$ has abelian fundamental group.
We have seen that $V_{+}=\overline{M_{\infty}-W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right)}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[1, \infty)$, so that in particular $V_{+}$is connected. We have $F \subset \Theta \cap W_{\infty}\left(r_{2}\right) \subset f_{K}^{-1}\left(\Psi \cap W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)\right)$. If $V_{-}$denotes the component of $f_{K}^{-1}\left(\Psi \cap W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)\right)$ containing $F$, then $V_{+} \cup V_{-}$is one component of $Q$, and every other component has the form $f_{K}^{-1}(\psi)$, where $\psi$ is a component of $\Psi$ contained in int $W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)$. Any component of the latter type is homeomorphic to a component of $\Psi$, and is therefore a solid torus since $\lambda$ is a Margulis number for $M_{K}$. In particular such a component has an abelian fundamental group.

To describe the topology of $V_{+} \cup V_{-}$, we consider the component $\psi_{0}$ of $\Psi$ containing $f_{K}\left(V_{-}\right)$. Since $\lambda$ is a Margulis number for $M_{K}$, the set $\psi_{0}$ is a tube about some closed geodesic $C_{0}$ in $M_{K}$. But $f_{K}(F) \subset \psi_{0}$ is the frontier of $M_{K}-W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)$, which we have seen is a topological regular neighborhood of the core geodesic $C$ in $M_{K}$. We must therefore have $C=C_{0}$. As $M_{K}-W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)$ and $\psi_{0}$ are open regular neighborhoods of $C_{0}$, with $M_{K}-W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right) \subset \psi_{0}$, the set $\psi_{0} \cap W_{K}\left(r_{2}\right)=f_{K}\left(V_{-}\right)$is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times(0,1]$, and hence so is $V_{-}$. Since $V_{+} \cong S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[1, \infty)$, and $\partial V_{+}=\partial V_{-}$, it follows that $V_{+} \cup V_{-} \cong S^{1} \times S^{1} \times(0, \infty)$; in particular, $\pi_{1}\left(V_{+} \cup V_{-}\right)$is abelian.

The proof of the following result combines Propositions 6.3 and 7.2.
Theorem 7.3. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp, and let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Suppose that there is an infinite sequence of closed manifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, obtained by distinct Dehn fillings of the compact core of $M$, such
that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ is $k$-free for every $j \geq 0$. Let $H$ denote the maximal standard neighborhood (see 2.4) of the cusp of $M$. Suppose that $\operatorname{vol} H<2 \pi$. Then there exist a real number $\beta$ with $1<\beta<2$ and a point $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ such that
(1) $\operatorname{vol} H \geq \pi \beta$, and
(2) $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \bar{H}) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\beta-1}{k-2}\right)$.

Proof. According to Theorem 7.1, all but finitely many of the $M_{j}$ are hyperbolic Dehn fillings of $M$; hence we may assume, after passing to a subsequence, that all the $M_{j}$ are hyperbolic Dehn fillings.

Let $c_{j}$ denote the core geodesic of the Dehn filling $M_{j}$ and set $l_{j}=$ length $c_{j}$. According to Proposition 7.2 we have $l_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\pi_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ is in particular 2-free for each $M_{j}$, the number $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for each $M_{j}$ (see 2.7).

After passing to a smaller subsequence we may assume that $l_{j}<\log 3$ for every $j$. Let $T_{j}$ denote the maximal (embedded) tube about $c_{j}$, let $R_{j}$ denote its radius, and set

$$
R_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\cosh \left(2 R_{j}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{l_{j}}{2}\right)\right)
$$

Then according to Proposition 6.3 there exist points $P_{j} \in c_{j}$ and $Q_{j} \in M_{j}$ such that $\rho=$ $\operatorname{dist}_{M}\left(P_{j}, Q_{j}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k-2}{1+e^{2 \rho}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{l_{j}}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 R_{j}^{\prime}}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{7.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 6.3 that we may assume that our subsequence has been chosen so that $Q_{j}$ lies in the $(\log 3)$-thick part of $M_{j}$ for every $j$.

From the definition of the $R_{j}^{\prime}$ we see that $R_{j} \leq R_{j}^{\prime} \leq R_{j}+\left(l_{j} / 4\right)$. Since $l_{j} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $R_{j}-R_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

From (7.3.1) it follows in particular that

$$
\frac{1}{1+e^{l_{j}}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 R_{j}^{\prime}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Let us set

$$
r_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{e^{l_{j}}+3}{e^{l_{j}}-1}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+e^{l_{j}}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 r_{j}}}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{7.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $R_{j}^{\prime} \geq r_{j}$ for every $j$. In particular, $R_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $R_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
We set $h_{j}^{\prime}=R_{j}^{\prime}-r_{j} \geq 0$, and $h_{j}=R_{j}-r_{j}$. Note that $h_{j}^{\prime}-h_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

According to Proposition 7.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol} T_{j}=\operatorname{vol} H \tag{7.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have vol $T_{j}=\pi l_{j} \sinh ^{2} R_{j}$. Since $R_{j}$ tends to infinity with $j$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol} T_{j}}{l_{j} e^{2 R_{j}}} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{4} \tag{7.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $R_{j}=r_{j}+h_{j}$. From the definition of $r_{j}$, and the fact that $l_{j} \rightarrow 0$, we find that $e^{2 r_{j}} l_{j} \rightarrow 4$. Combining these observations with (7.3.3) and (7.3.4), we deduce that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi e^{2 h_{j}}$ exists and that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi e^{2 h_{j}}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol} T_{j}=\operatorname{vol}(H)
$$

Hence $\alpha=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{j}$ exists, and if we set $\beta=e^{2 \alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} H=\beta \pi \tag{7.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h_{j}^{\prime}-h_{j} \rightarrow 0$ we have $h_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, since $h_{j}^{\prime} \geq 0$, we have $\alpha \geq 0$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geq 1 \tag{7.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hypothesis vol $H<2 \pi$, with (7.3.5), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta<2 \tag{7.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing (7.3.1) and (7.3.2) we find that

$$
\frac{k-2}{1+e^{2 \rho_{j}}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{2 R_{j}^{\prime}}} \leq \frac{1}{1+e^{2 r_{j}}}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-2) \frac{1+e^{2 R_{j}^{\prime}}}{1+e^{2 \rho_{j}}} \leq \frac{1+e^{2 R_{j}^{\prime}}}{1+e^{2 r_{j}}}-1 . \tag{7.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $j \rightarrow \infty$, since $R_{j}^{\prime}-r_{j}=h_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow \alpha$, the right hand side of (7.3.8) approaches $e^{2 \alpha}-1=\beta-1$. Hence

$$
(k-2) \lim \sup e^{2\left(R_{j}^{\prime}-\rho_{j}\right)} \leq \beta-1 .
$$

Since $R_{j} \leq R_{j}^{\prime}$ it follows that

$$
(k-2) \lim \sup e^{2\left(R_{j}-\rho_{j}\right)} \leq \beta-1
$$

Recalling that $\beta<2$ by (7.3.7), we find that

$$
0<\liminf \left(\rho_{j}-R_{j}\right) \leq \infty
$$

Hence after passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\rho_{j}-R_{j} \rightarrow \gamma$, where $\gamma=+\infty$ if $\beta=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \geq-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\beta-1}{k-2}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \beta>1 \tag{7.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, since $\beta<2$ and $k \geq 3$, we have $\gamma>0$.
For every $j$ set $d_{j}=\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{j}, \bar{T}_{j}\right)$ (so that, a priori, we have $d_{j} \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\left.Q_{j} \in \bar{T}_{j}\right)$. Since $T_{j}=N\left(R_{j}, c_{j}\right)$, and $c_{j}$ has diameter at most $l_{j} / 2$, we have $\rho_{j}=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(P_{j}, Q_{j}\right) \leq d_{j}+R_{j}+\left(l_{j} / 2\right)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j} \geq \rho_{j}-R_{j}-\left(l_{j} / 2\right) \tag{7.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (7.3.10) we have $\rho_{j}-R_{j} \rightarrow \gamma$ and $l_{j} \rightarrow 0$. Setting $\delta=\gamma / 2$ if $0<\gamma<\infty$ and $\delta=1$ if $\gamma=\infty$, we deduce that $d_{j} \geq \delta$ for large $j$, i.e. $Q_{j} \in M_{j}-N\left(\delta, \bar{T}_{j}\right)$ for large $j$. In view of the properties of the maps $f_{j}$ it then follows that $f_{j}\left(Q_{j}\right) \in M-H$ for every sufficiently large $j$. As $M-H$ is compact, we may assume after passing to a subsequence that the sequence $\left(f_{j}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right)$ converges to a point $Q \in M-H$. Furthermore, we have $d_{j} \rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(Q, H)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Taking limits in (7.3.10) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \leq \operatorname{dist}(Q, H) \tag{7.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $\gamma<\infty$, so that the definition of $\gamma$ gives $\beta>1$, and by (7.3.9) we have $\gamma \geq-(1 / 2) \log ((\beta-1) /(k-2))$. It now follows from (7.3.11) that

$$
\operatorname{dist}(Q, \bar{H}) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\beta-1}{k-2}\right)
$$

This is conclusion (2) of the theorem. Conclusion (1) is included in (7.3.5). This completes the proof of the Theorem.

## 8. Volume and density

8.1. We shall define functions $B(r), a_{2}(r), h_{2}(r), a_{3}(r), h_{3}(r), \beta(r), \tau(r)$ and $d(r)$ for $r>0$. Each of these will be defined by an analytic formula accompanied by a geometric interpretation. For $n=2,3$ we let $\Delta_{n}(r)$ denote a regular hyperbolic simplex in $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ with sides of length $2 r$.

- $B(r)=\pi(\sinh (2 r)-2 r)$ is the volume of a ball of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$;
- $a_{2}(r)=\operatorname{arccosh}\left(\cosh (2 r) / \cosh (r)\right.$ is the altitude of $\Delta_{2}(r)$;
- $h_{2}(r)=\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\left(\cosh a_{2}(r) \cosh (r)-1\right) / \sinh a_{2}(r) \cosh r\right)$ is the distance from a vertex of $\Delta_{2}(r)$ to its barycenter;
- $a_{3}(r)=\operatorname{arccosh}\left(\cosh (2 r) / \cosh \left(h_{2}(r)\right)\right)$ is the altitude of $\Delta_{3}(r)$;
- $h_{3}(r)=\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\left(\cosh a_{3}(r) \cosh h_{2}(r)-1\right) /\left(\sinh a_{3}(r) \cosh h_{2}(r)\right)\right)$ is the distance from a vertex of $\Delta_{3}(r)$ to its barycenter;
- $\beta(r)=\operatorname{arcsec}(\operatorname{sech}(2 r)+2)$ is a dihedral angle of $\Delta_{3}(r)$;
- $\tau(r)=3 \int_{\beta(r)}^{\operatorname{arcsec} 3} \operatorname{arcsech}((\sec t)-2) d t$ is the volume of $\Delta_{3}(r)$;
- $d(r)=(3 \beta(r)-\pi)(\sinh (2 r)-2 r) / \tau(r)$ is the ratio of $\operatorname{vol}\left(W \cap \Delta_{3}(r)\right)$ to $\operatorname{vol} \Delta_{3}(r)$, where $W$ is the union of four mutually tangent balls of equal radii centered at the vertices of $\Delta_{3}(r)$.

It is shown in [8] that $d(r)$ is a monotonically increasing function for $r>0$. We set $d(\infty)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} d(r)=.853 \ldots$

If $P$ is a point in a complete hyperbolic manifold $M$, and if the injectivity radius at $P$ is at least $r$ then it is immediate that vol $N(r, P)=B(r)$. Less trivially, we have:

Proposition 8.2. Suppose $r$ is a positive number, that $P$ is a point in a hyperbolic manifold $M$, and that the injectivity radius of $M$ at $P$ is at least $r$. Then $\operatorname{vol}\left(N\left(h_{3}(r), P\right)\right) \geq$ $B(r) / d(r)$.

Proof. Set $J=N(r, P)$ and $W=N\left(h_{3}(r), P\right)$. Then $J$ is isometric to a ball of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, since the injectivity radius of $M$ at $P$ is at least $r$. If we write $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Isom}_{+}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$ is discrete and torsion-free, and if $q: \mathbb{H}^{3} \rightarrow M$ denotes the quotient map, then each component of $q^{-1}(J)$ is a hyperbolic ball of radius $r$. These components form a sphere-packing in the sense of [7]. Let us fix any component $\widetilde{J}$ of $q^{-1}(J)$, and let $\widetilde{W} \subset \mathbb{H}^{3}$ denote the ball of radius $h_{3}(r)$ with the same center as $\widetilde{J}$. The Voronoĭ polytope $Z$ for $\widetilde{J}$, whose interior consists of all the points which are nearer to the center of $\widetilde{J}$ than to the center of any other sphere of the packing, is a Dirichlet domain for $\Gamma$. In the proof of the main result of [7] (see [7, p. 259]) it is shown that $(\operatorname{vol} \widetilde{J}) / \operatorname{vol}(Z \cap \widetilde{W}) \leq d(r)$. But $\operatorname{vol} \widetilde{J}=B(r)$; and since $q$ maps $\widetilde{W}$ into $W$, and is one-to-one on the interior of $Z$, we have $\operatorname{vol}(Z \cap \widetilde{W}) \leq \operatorname{vol} W$. Hence $B(r) /(\operatorname{vol} W) \leq d(r)$.

Proposition 8.3. Suppose that $H$ is a standard neighborhood of a cusp in a finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$, and set

$$
N=N\left(\log \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, \bar{H}\right) \subset M
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol} H}{\operatorname{vol} N} \leq d(\infty)
$$

Proof. This is essentially a restatement of [7, Theorem 4]. In [7, Section 6] it is remarked that the proof of the main theorem (about sphere packings) applies without change to horoball packings. A stronger version of [7, Theorem 4], analogous to the statement which was used in the previous proposition, follows from this proof in the same way. However, it must be formulated slightly differently since $h_{3}(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. For this reformulation we consider the function $k_{3}(r) \doteq h_{3}(r)-r$. Geometrically, the value of $k_{3}(r)$ can be described as
the distance from the barycenter of $\Delta_{3}(r)$ to any of the four spheres of radius $r$ centered at a vertex of $\Delta_{3}(r)$. Note that if $P$ is a vertex of $\Delta_{3}(r)$ and $B$ is a ball of radius $r$ centered at $P$ then $N\left(h_{3}(r), P\right)=N\left(k_{3}(r), B\right)$.

If $\Delta$ is a regular ideal hyperbolic simplex then there is a unique family of four "standard" horoballs which meet the sphere $S^{\infty}$ at the vertices of $\Delta$ and are each tangent to the other three. By the barycenter of $\Delta$ we shall mean the unique common fixed point of the 12 symmetries of $\Delta$, which permute the standard horoballs. It is clear that the quantity $k_{3}(\infty)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} k_{3}(r)$ is the distance from the barycenter of $\Delta$ to any of these four horoballs. Working in the upper half-space model, with coordinates $(z, t)$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, it is straightforward to check, for the regular ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0),\left(\frac{1+i \sqrt{3}}{2}, 0\right)$ and $\infty$, that the barycenter is the point $\left(\frac{3+i \sqrt{3}}{6}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$ and that the standard horoball containing $\infty$ is bounded by the plane $t=1$. Thus we have $k_{3}(\infty)=\log \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$.

The components of the pre-image of $H$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ form a horoball packing to which [7, Theorem 4] applies, and a Ford domain $Z$ for one of the horoballs is a Voronoĭ polyhedron for the packing. The theorem states that $\operatorname{vol} H / \operatorname{vol} Z \leq d(\infty)$. However, the proof which was indicated for the theorem actually shows that $\operatorname{vol} H / \operatorname{vol}\left(Z \cap N\left(k_{3}(\infty), H\right) \leq d(\infty)\right.$. Since $k_{3}(\infty)=\log \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$, this is equivalent to the statement that $\operatorname{vol} H / \operatorname{vol} N \leq d(\infty)$.

## 9. The main estimate

This section contains the proof of Theorem 1.3, which was stated in the Introduction.
Lemma 9.1. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp. Suppose that there is an infinite sequence of closed manifolds $\left(M_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, obtained by distinct Dehn fillings of the compact core of $M$, such that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{j}\right)$ is 2-free for every $j \geq 0$. Then $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for $M$.

Proof. According to Theorem 7.1, we may assume, after removing finitely many terms from the sequence $\left(M_{j}\right)$, that the $M_{j}$ are all hyperbolic. According to 2.7 , the number $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for each $M_{j}$, and it therefore follows from Proposition 7.2 that $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for $M$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $H$ denote the maximal standard neighborhood of the cusp of $M$. If vol $H \geq 2 \pi$ the conclusion is obvious, and so we shall assume vol $H<2 \pi$. According to Theorem 7.3 we may fix a real number $\beta$ with $1<\beta<2$ and a point $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ such that
(1) $\operatorname{vol} H \geq \pi \beta$, and
(2) $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \bar{H}) \geq-(\log (\beta-1)) / 2$.

Let us set

$$
N=N\left(\log \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, \bar{H}\right) \subset M .
$$

According to Proposition 8.3, we have $\operatorname{vol} H / \operatorname{vol} N \leq d(\infty)$, and by (1) it follows that

$$
\operatorname{vol} N \geq \pi \beta / d(\infty)
$$

It follows from (2) that

$$
\operatorname{dist}(Q, \bar{N}) \geq-\frac{\log (\beta-1)}{2}-\frac{\log (3 / 2)}{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
\operatorname{dist}(Q, \bar{N}) \geq-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)
$$

Setting

$$
r_{\beta}=-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)
$$

and

$$
N_{1}=N\left(r_{\beta}, Q\right)
$$

we deduce that $N \cap N_{1}=\emptyset$, and hence that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} M \geq \frac{\pi \beta}{d(\infty)}+\operatorname{vol} N_{1} \tag{9.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now distinguish several cases depending on the size of $r_{\beta}$. To simplify the notation we shall set

$$
C_{1}=\exp \left(h_{3}\left(\frac{\log 3}{2}\right)\right)=1.931 \ldots
$$

and

$$
C_{2}=\frac{B((\log 3) / 2)}{d((\log 3) / 2)}=0.929 \ldots
$$

Case I: $r_{\beta} \leq 0$.
In this case $N_{1}=\emptyset$. However, we have $\beta \geq 5 / 3$, hence $\pi \beta / d(\infty)>6$, so the conclusion follows from (9.1.1).

Case II: $0<r_{\beta} \leq(\log 3) / 2$.
In this case we have $5 / 3>\beta \geq 11 / 9$. Since $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$, the set $N_{1}$ is a hyperbolic ball of radius $r_{\beta}$. In the notation of 8.1 we have $\operatorname{vol} N_{1}=B\left(r_{\beta}\right)=\pi\left(\sinh \left(2 r_{\beta}\right)-2 r_{\beta}\right)$, and (9.1.1) becomes

$$
\operatorname{vol} M \geq \pi\left(\frac{\beta}{d(\infty)}-\frac{3}{4}(\beta-1)-\frac{1}{3}(\beta-1)^{-1}+\log \left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)\right)
$$

that is, we have $\operatorname{vol} M \geq f(\beta-1)$, where the function $f(x)$ is defined for $x>0$ by

$$
f(x)=\pi\left(\frac{x+1}{d(\infty)}-\frac{3}{4} x+\frac{1}{3} x^{-1}+\log \left(\frac{3}{2} x\right)\right)
$$

We have $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x$ approaches 0 or $\infty$, and $f^{\prime}(x)=\pi q(1 / x)$, where $q$ is the quadratic polynomial

$$
q(y)=-\frac{1}{3} y^{2}+y+\left(\frac{1}{d_{\infty}}-\frac{3}{4}\right)
$$

As the only zero of $q(y)$ with $y>0$ is at $y=(3 / 2)\left(1+\sqrt{4 /\left(3 d_{\infty}\right)}\right)$, the least value of $f(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is

$$
f\left(\frac{2}{3\left(1+\sqrt{4 /\left(3 d_{\infty}\right)}\right)}\right)>5.06
$$

In particular it follows that vol $M>5.06$ in this case.

Case III: $(\log 3) / 2<r_{\beta} \leq h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)$.
In this case $11 / 9>\beta \geq 1+\frac{2}{3} C_{1}^{-2}=1.178 \ldots$ We have $N_{1} \supset N((\log 3) / 2, Q)$ and, since $Q \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$, the set $N((\log 3) / 2, Q)$ is a hyperbolic ball of radius $(\log 3) / 2$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{vol} N_{1} \geq B((\log 3) / 2)=0.737 \ldots
$$

We also have $\beta \geq 1.178$, and so (9.1.1) gives

$$
\operatorname{vol} M \geq \frac{1.178 \pi}{d(\infty)}+.737 \ldots>5.07
$$

Case IV: $r_{\beta}>h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)$.
In this case we have $\beta<1+\frac{2}{3} C_{1}^{-2}$. We set

$$
s_{\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{\beta}-h_{3}((\log 3) / 2) .\right.
$$

Lemma 9.1 guarantees that $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for $M$. Hence some component $X$ of the $(\log 3)$-thin part of $M_{\text {thin }}(\log 3)$ is a standard neighborhood of the cusp of $M$. Since $H$ is the unique maximal standard neighborhood of the cusp, we have $X \subset H$. It follows that the frontier $Y$ of $H$ is contained in $M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$.

Since $\log 3$ is a Margulis number for $M$, the set $M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ is connected (see 2.5). Define a continuous function $\Delta: M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Delta(x)=\operatorname{dist}_{M}(x, Q)$. Then $\Delta(Q)=0$. For any point $y \in Y \subset M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ we have $\Delta(y) \geq \operatorname{dist}(Q, H) \geq-\log (\beta-1) / 2>r_{\beta}$. By the connectedness of $M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ we have $\left[0, r_{\beta}\right] \subset \Delta\left(M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)\right)$. Since the definition of $s_{\beta}$ and the hypothesis of Case IV give that $0<2 s_{\beta}+h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)<r_{\beta}$, we may choose a point $R \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$ such that $\Delta(R)=2 s_{\beta}+h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)$, i.e. $\operatorname{dist}(R, Q)=2 s_{\beta}+h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)$.

This implies on the one hand that $N_{2}=N\left(2 s_{\beta}, R\right)$ is disjoint from $W=N\left(h_{3}((\log 3) / 2), Q\right)$, and on the other hand that

$$
N_{2} \subset N\left(2 s_{\beta}+h_{3}((\log 3) / 2), Q\right)=N\left(r_{\beta}, Q\right)=N_{1} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} N_{1} \geq \operatorname{vol} N_{2}+\operatorname{vol} W \tag{9.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Proposition 8.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} W \geq C_{2} \tag{9.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9.1.1), (9.1.2) and (9.1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} M>C_{2}+\frac{\pi \beta}{d(\infty)}+\operatorname{vol} N_{2} \tag{9.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have two sub-cases.
Case IV(A): $h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)<r_{\beta} \leq h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)+\log 3$.
In this sub-case we have $1+\frac{2}{3} C_{1}^{-2}>\beta \geq 1+\frac{2}{9} C_{1}^{-2}=1.05 \ldots$. Also, since $r_{\beta} \leq h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)+$ $\log 3$, we have $s_{\beta} \leq(\log 3) / 2$.

Since $R \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$, the set $N_{2}=N\left(s_{\beta}, R\right)$ contains a hyperbolic ball of radius $s_{\beta}$. Hence $\operatorname{vol} N_{2} \geq B\left(s_{\beta}\right)$, and (9.1.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} M>C_{2}+\frac{\pi \beta}{d(\infty)}+B\left(s_{\beta}\right) \tag{9.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $B\left(s_{\beta}\right)=\pi\left(\sinh \left(2 s_{\beta}\right)-2 s_{\beta}\right)$, that $s_{\beta}=(1 / 2)\left(r_{\beta}-h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)\right.$, and that $r_{\beta}=-(1 / 2) \log \left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)$, we obtain the expression

$$
B\left(s_{\beta}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(C_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)^{-1 / 2}-C_{1}\left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)^{1 / 2}+\log \left(\frac{3}{2}(\beta-1)\right)+2 \log C_{1}\right) .
$$

We may therefore rewrite (9.1.5) as $\operatorname{vol} M \geq g(\beta-1)$, where $g(x)$ is defined for $x>0$ by

$$
g(x)=\frac{\pi}{2}\left(C_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2} x\right)^{-1 / 2}-C_{1}\left(\frac{3}{2} x\right)^{1 / 2}+\log \left(\frac{3}{2} x\right)+2 \log C_{1}+\frac{2}{d(\infty)}(x+1)\right)+C_{2} .
$$

Note that for $x>0$ we have

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\pi}{2} c\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2 x}}\right),
$$

where $c$ denotes the cubic polynomial

$$
c(y)=-\frac{3}{4} C_{1}^{-1} y^{3}+\frac{2}{3} y^{2}-\frac{3}{4} C_{1} y+\frac{2}{d_{\infty}} .
$$

Writing

$$
c(y)=-\frac{3}{4} C_{1}^{-1} y^{2}(y-A)-\frac{3}{4} C_{1}(y-B),
$$

where $A=(8 / 9) C_{1}=1.7 \ldots$ and $B=8 /\left(3 d_{\infty} C_{1}\right)=1.6 \ldots$, makes it evident that $c(y)<0$ for $y>2$. Hence $g(x)$ decreases monotonically on the interval $0<x<3 / 8$. Since we are in Case IV we have $\beta-1<(2 / 3) C_{1}^{-2}=0.178 \ldots<3 / 8$ and hence

$$
\operatorname{vol} M \geq g(\beta-1)>g\left(\frac{2}{3} C_{1}^{-2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{d(\infty)}\left(1+\frac{2}{3} C_{1}^{-2}\right)+C_{2}=5.26 \ldots
$$

Case IV(B): $r_{\beta}>h_{3}((\log 3) / 2)+\log 3$
In this sub-case we have $\beta<1+\frac{2}{9} C_{1}^{-2}$, and $s_{\beta}>(\log 3) / 2$. Since $R \in M_{\text {thick }}(\log 3)$, the set $N_{2}=N\left(s_{\beta}, R\right)$ contains a hyperbolic ball of radius $(\log 3) / 2$. Hence vol $N_{2} \geq B((\log 3) / 2)$, and (9.1.4) gives

$$
\operatorname{vol} M>C_{2}+\frac{\pi \beta}{d(\infty)}+B((\log 3) / 2)>C_{2}+\frac{\pi}{d(\infty)}+B((\log 3) / 2)=5.34 \ldots
$$

Thus the desired lower bound is established in all cases.

## 10. Relaxing the restriction on surface subgroups

This section contains the proofs of Theorem 10.12 and Corollary 10.14, which were discussed in the introduction.
10.1. In this section, as in [?], if $X$ is a space having the homotopy type of a finite polyhedron, we will write $\bar{\chi}(X)$ for $-\chi(X)$, where $\chi(X)$ as usual denotes the Euler characteristic.
10.2. We refer the reader to [12, Definition 2.4] for the definition of a generalized book of $I$ bundles. Such an object is defined by a 3-manifold $W$ together with a family of submanifolds called pages which are $I$-bundles over surfaces, and another family of submanifolds called bindings which are Seifert fibered spaces, and these are required to satisfy various conditions. In [?], if $\mathcal{W}$ is a generalized book of $I$-bundles, the underlying manifold $W$ is denoted by $|\mathcal{W}|$. In this section we will use slightly more informal notation, referring to a manifold $W$ itself as a book of $I$-bundles to mean that it is equipped with an unnamed decomposition satisfying the conditions of [12, Definition 2.4].

Definition 10.3. We define a book of I-bundles with hollow bindings to be a generalized book of $I$-bundles $W$ with the following properties: (1) $W \neq \emptyset$, (2) each binding of $W$ meets at least one page of $W$, and (3) each binding of $W$ either is a solid torus, or is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$ and has one boundary component contained in $\partial W$. A binding of the latter type is called a hollow binding. It follows from condition (2) that a hollow binding $B$ has only one boundary component contained in $\partial W$. We denote this component of $\partial B$ by $\partial_{B}$, and we set $\partial_{W}=\bigcup_{B} \partial_{B}$, where $B$ ranges over the hollow bindings of $W$. In the special
case where $B$ has no hollow bindings, we call $B$ a book of I-bundles. This definition agrees with [12, Definition 2.5].
10.4. Suppose that $X$ is a compact, orientable, irreducible and boundary-irreducible 3manifold. According to the characteristic submanifold theory [16], [17] there is a compact (possibly empty) submanifold $\Sigma$ of $X$, unique up to ambient isotopy, having the following properties:
(1) For every component $\tau$ of the frontier of $\sigma$, the inclusion homomorpism $\pi_{1}(\tau) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}(X)$ is injective.
(2) No component of the frontier of $\Sigma$ is boundary-parallel in $X$.
(3) No component $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$ is contained in a regular neighborhood of a component $\sigma^{\prime} \neq \sigma$ of $\Sigma$.
(4) For every component $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$, either
(i) $\sigma$ is a $[0,1]$-bundle over a surface of strictly negative Euler characteristic and $\sigma \cap \partial X$ is the associated $\{0,1\}$-bundle of $\sigma$, or
(ii) $\sigma$ is a Seifert fibered space, and $\sigma \cap \partial X$ is a saturated 2-dimensional submanifold of $\partial \sigma$.
(5) Every compact submanifold of $X$ which satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (4), and whose set-theoretic interior contains $\Sigma$, is a regular neighborhood of $\Sigma$.

We shall write $\Sigma=\Sigma_{X}$. It follows from the properties stated above that every component of the frontier of $\Sigma$ in $X$ is a torus or an annulus, and that the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(\sigma) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X)$ is injective for every component $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$.

A component $H$ of $\overline{X-\Sigma}$ will be termed trivial if the pair $(H, H \cap \partial X)$ is homeomorphic to $\left(S^{1} \times[0,1] \times[0,1], S^{1} \times[0,1] \times\{0,1\}\right)$.

Lemma 10.5. Suppose that $X$ is a simple, compact, orientable 3-manifold, with $\chi(X)<0$. Set $\Sigma=\Sigma_{X}$. Then the following conclusions hold.
(1) For every Seifert fibered component $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$, either
(i) $\sigma$ is a solid torus, or
(ii) $\sigma$ is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$, one component of $\partial \sigma$ is contained in $\partial X$, and the other component of $\partial \sigma$ meets $\partial X$ in a non-empty collection of annuli.
(2) Every component of the frontier of $\Sigma$ is an annulus.
(3) Every torus component of $\partial X$ is either contained in $\Sigma$ or disjoint from $\Sigma$.
(4) If $H$ is a non-trivial component of $\overline{X-\Sigma}$, no component of $H \cap \partial X$ is an annulus.
(5) Every non-trivial component of $\bar{X}-\Sigma$ has strictly negative Euler characteristic.

Proof. To prove conclusion (1), suppose that $\sigma$ is a Seifert fibered component of $\Sigma$. If $\pi_{1}(\sigma)$ is cyclic then $\sigma$ is a solid torus. Now suppose that $\pi_{1}(\sigma)$ is non-cyclic. Since $X$ is simple and $\pi_{1}(\sigma) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X)$ is injective, the inclusion $\sigma \rightarrow X$ is homotopic to a map of $\sigma$ into some
torus component $T$ of $\partial X$. In particular, $\pi_{1}(\sigma)$ is abelian, and hence $\sigma$ is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$.

Now let $V$ denote a small regular neighborhood of $\sigma \cap T$ in $\sigma$, and let us denote by $\sigma_{-}$ the manifold $\overline{\sigma-V}$, and by $R$ the component of $X-\operatorname{int} \sigma^{\prime}$ containing $T$. The fact that the inclusion $\sigma \rightarrow X$ is homotopic to a map of $\sigma$ into $T$ implies that $R$ is itself a regular neighborhood of $T$. Condition (2) of (10.4) implies that no component of $\Sigma$ can be contained in $R$. Hence if we set $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma \cup R$, the components of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are $\sigma_{-} \cup R$ and the components of $\Sigma-\sigma$. It follows easily that conditions (1)-(4) of 10.4 continue to hold when $\Sigma$ is replaced by a regular neighborhood $\Sigma_{+}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Hence by condition (5) of (10.4), $\Sigma_{+}^{\prime}$ is a regular neighborhood of $\Sigma$, and the component $\sigma_{+}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma_{+}^{\prime}$ containing $\sigma$ is a regular neighborhood of $\sigma$. Since $T \subset \sigma_{+}^{\prime}$ it follows that $T \subset \sigma$.

We have $\partial \sigma=T \cup T^{\prime}$, where $T^{\prime}$ is another torus. It follows from condition (2) of (10.4) that $T^{\prime}$ cannot be contained in int $X$. On the other hand, if $T^{\prime}$ were contained in $\partial X$ then we would have $X=\sigma$, and $X$ would not be simple. Hence $T^{\prime} \cap \partial X$ is a non-empty collection of annuli. This proves conclusion (1).

Conclusion (2) follows immediately from conclusion (1).
Suppose that conclusion (3) is false. Let $T$ be a torus component of $\partial X$ which is not contained in $\Sigma$ or disjoint from $\Sigma$, and let $\Sigma_{0}$ denote the union of all components of $\Sigma$ that meet $T$. Then $\Sigma_{0}$ is a possibly disconnected Seifert fibered space, and the components of $\Sigma_{0} \cap T$ are saturated annuli. Hence a regular neighborhood $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma_{0} \cup T$ is a Seifert fibered space. Any compressible component of $\partial \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ must bound a solid torus in $X$ whose interior is disjoint from $\Sigma$. If $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the union of $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ with all such solid tori, then $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ admits a Seifert fibration (since $X$ is irreducible), and conditions (1)—(4) of 10.4 hold with $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma-\Sigma_{0}\right) \cup \Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ in place of $\Sigma$. But $\Sigma^{\prime}$ contains $T$ and hence cannot be contained in a regular neighborhood of $\Sigma$. This contradicts condition (5) of 10.4.

Suppose that conclusion (4) is false. Let $A$ denote an annulus component of $H \cap \partial X$, and let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ denote the components of $\partial A$. For $i=1,2$, the component of the frontier of $\Sigma$ containing $C_{i}$ is an annulus $B_{i}$. We first consider the case $B_{1} \neq B_{2}$. In this case, for $i=1,2$ we choose a properly embedded annulus $B_{i}^{\prime}$ in $H$ which is parallel and close to $B_{i}$, and we denote by $C_{i}^{\prime}$ the parallel copy of $C_{i}$ in $\partial B_{i}^{\prime}$. Then $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ cobound a sub-annulus $A^{\prime}$ of $A$. Let $Q$ denote a regular neighborhood of $B_{1}^{\prime} \cup A^{\prime} \cup B_{2}^{\prime}$ in $X-\Sigma$, and let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ denote a regular neighborhood of $Q \cup \Sigma$. Then conditions (1)-(4) of 10.4 hold with $\Sigma^{\prime}$ in place of $\Sigma$. (In particular, if condition (2) failed to hold for $\Sigma^{\prime}$, then either $B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$ would be boundary-parallel, contradicting condition (2) for $\Sigma$, or $H$ would be a trivial component of $\overline{X-\Sigma}$.) This contradicts condition (5) of 10.4 , since $\Sigma^{\prime}$ has a component disjoint from $\Sigma$.
There remains the case where $B_{1}=B_{2}=B$, say. In this case, $\partial H$ contains the torus $A \cup B$, and the simplicity of $X$ implies that $H$ is either a solid torus or is homeomorphic to
$S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$. In either subcase we fix a regular neighborhood $V$ of $B$ relative to $H$, and let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ denote a regular neighborhood of $\Sigma \cup \overline{H-V}$. Here again conditions (1)-(4) of 10.4 hold with $\Sigma^{\prime}$ in place of $\Sigma$, and again we have a contradiction to condition (5) of 10.4, since $\Sigma^{\prime}$ has a component disjoint from $\Sigma$.
To prove conclusion (5), suppose that $H$ is a component of $\overline{X-\Sigma}$ such that $\chi(H)=0$. Since $\chi(X)<0$, we must have $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ in this situation, and so $H$ has a frontier component $C$, which by conclusion (2) must be an annulus. Since $\chi(H)=0$, the component $U$ of $\partial H$ containing $C$ must be a torus. Now $U \cap \partial X$ is a non-empty proper subset of $U$, whose components must be annuli; this contradicts conclusion (4).
10.6. If $X$ is a compact, simple 3-manifold with $\chi(X)<0$, we will denote by $\Sigma_{X}^{+}$the union of $\Sigma_{X}$ with all trivial components of $\overline{X-\Sigma_{X}}$. It follows from conclusion (1) of 10.5, together with Definition 10.3 and [12, Definition 2.4], that every component $W$ of $\Sigma_{X}^{+}$which is not a solid torus may be given the structure of a book of $I$-bundles with hollow bindings in such a way that $\partial_{W} \subset \partial X$. The pages and bindings of $W$ are respectively regular neighborhoods of the $I$-bundle components and the Seifert fibered components of $\Sigma_{X}$ that are contained in $W$.

Lemma 10.7. Let $X$ be a compact, simple 3-manifold with $\chi(X)<0$. Set $\Sigma^{+}=\Sigma_{X}^{+}$and $K=\overline{X-\Sigma_{+}}$. Then
(1) every torus component of $\partial X$ is contained either in $\Sigma_{+}$or in $K$;
(2) every component of $K$ has strictly negative Euler characteristic; and
(3) the components of $K \cap \Sigma^{+}$are annuli, no two of which are isotopic in $\partial K$.

Proof. Conclusion (1) is immediate from conclusion (3) of Lemma 10.5. Conclusion (2) is immediate from conclusion (5) of Lemma 10.5. For conclusion (3) of the present lemma, note that the components of $K \cap \Sigma^{+}$are annuli by conclusion (2) of Lemma 10.5. If two of these annuli were isotopic in $\partial K$ then some component of $K \cap \partial X$ would be an annulus. This is ruled out by conclusion (4) of Lemma 10.5.
10.8. Suppose that $N$ is a simple, compact, orientable 3-manifold whose boundary components are tori, and that $S \subset \operatorname{int} N$ is a closed incompressible surface of genus $>1$. Let $N^{\prime}$ denote the manifold obtained by splitting $N$ along $S$. Then the components of $N^{\prime}$ are simple. Let us set

$$
\Sigma^{+}=\bigcup_{X} \Sigma_{X}^{+},
$$

where $X$ ranges over the components of $N^{\prime}$. According to 10.6 , the components of $\Sigma^{+}$are solid tori and books of $I$-bundles with punctured bindings, and the total number of punctured bindings in $\Sigma^{+}$is at most the number of components of $\partial N$.

We shall write

$$
\operatorname{kish}(N, S)=\overline{N^{\prime}-\Sigma^{+}}
$$

The manifold kish $(N, S)$ is sometimes described as the "kishkes" or "guts" of $N^{\prime}$. According to [3, Corollary 2.2], if $N$ is the compact core of a finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold and $S \subset \operatorname{int} N$ is any closed incompressible surface of genus $>1$, then

$$
\operatorname{vol}(N) \geq \bar{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(N, S)) \cdot V_{\text {oct }}
$$

where $V_{\text {oct }}=3.66 \ldots$ is the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.
We must warn the reader that the definition of $\operatorname{kish}(N, S)$ given here does not quite agree with the definition given in [10], where $\Sigma_{X}$ is used in place of $\Sigma_{X}^{+}$in the construction given above.

Lemma 10.9. Let $M$ be a compact, simple, orientable 3-manifold whose boundary is a single torus. Suppose that $M$ contains no separating, closed incompressible surface of genus 2. Let $Y$ be an incompressible, closed, orientable surface in $M$, set $K=\operatorname{kish}(M, Y)$, and suppose that $\bar{\chi}(K) \leq 1$. If $K$ is non-empty then $K$ is either (i) a genus-2 handlebody, or (ii) a disk sum of a solid torus and a manifold homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$.

Proof. Let $M^{\prime}$ denote the manifold obtained by splitting $M$ along $Y$. For this argument we identify $M^{\prime}$ with the complement of an open regular neighborhood of $Y$ in $M$. Since the components of the frontier of $K$ relative to $M^{\prime}$ are essential annuli in $M^{\prime}$, and since $Y$ is incompressible in $M$, the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(K) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is injective.

Since $K \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{\chi}(K) \leq 1, K$ is connected and $\partial K$ is a genus- 2 surface, which by hypothesis must be compressible in $M$. Since $\pi_{1}(K) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is injective, it follows that $K$ contains an essential properly embedded disk $D$. The manifold $K^{\prime}$ obtained by splitting $K$ along $D$ has at most two components; and since $K$ is simple, each of these components is homeomorphic to either $S^{1} \times D^{2}$ or $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$. Since $\partial M$ is a single torus, $K^{\prime}$ has at most one component of the latter type. Hence $K$ is either a genus-2 handlebody, or a disk sum of a solid torus and a homeomorphic copy of $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$.

Lemma 10.10. Let $A_{0}$ be a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold with $\chi\left(A_{0}\right)=-2$. Suppose that $A_{0}$ is a union of two submanifolds $K_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$with disjoint interiors, where $K_{0} \subset A_{0}$ is a genus-2 handlebody, every component of $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$is either a solid torus or a book of I-bundles; each component of $K_{0} \cap \Sigma_{0}^{+}$is an annulus, and no two components of $K_{0} \cap \Sigma_{0}^{+}$ are isotopic annuli on $\partial K_{0}$. Then $H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has rank at most 7 .

Proof. Define a graph $\mathcal{G}$ as follows: $\mathcal{G}$ has a vertex $v_{C}$ corresponding to every component of the disjoint union of $K_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$, and an edge $e_{c}$ corresponding to every component of $K_{0} \cap \Sigma_{0}^{+}$. The two endpoints of the edge $e_{c}$ are the vertices $v_{C}$ and $v_{C^{\prime}}$, where $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are the respective components of $K_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$containing $c$. For each vertex $v$ of $\mathcal{G}$, if we write $v=v_{C}$ where $C$ is a component of $K_{0}$ or $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$, let us define $\beta(v)$ to be the dimension of the
$\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector space $H_{1}\left(C ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. The Mayer-Vietoris theorem implies that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0}\right)=\sum_{v} \beta(v)+b(\mathcal{G}),
$$

where $v$ ranges over the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ and $b(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the first betti number of the graph $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $E$ and $V$ denote, respectively, the numbers of edges and vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. Since $A_{0}$ is connected, $\mathcal{G}$ is also connected, and hence $1-b(\mathcal{G})=V-E$. Since the components of $K_{0} \cap \Sigma_{0}^{+}$are non-isotopic annuli in a genus-2 surface, the number of these components is at most 3, i.e. $E \leq 3$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0}\right)=1+(E-V)+\sum_{v} \beta(v) \leq 4+\sum_{v}(\beta(v)-1), \tag{10.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ again ranges over the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$.
On the other hand, we have $\bar{\chi}\left(\Sigma_{0}^{+}\right)=\bar{\chi}\left(A_{0}\right)-\bar{\chi}\left(K_{0}\right)=2-1=1$. For each solid torus component $C$ of $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$we have $\bar{\chi}(C)=0$, and for each component $C$ of $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$which is a book of $I$-bundles we have $\bar{\chi}(C)>0$. Hence $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$has exactly one component, say $C_{0}$, which is a book of $I$-bundles, and $\bar{\chi}\left(C_{0}\right)=1$. Set $v_{0}=v_{C_{0}}$ and $v_{1}=v_{K_{0}}$. According to [12, Lemma 2.21] we have

$$
\beta\left(v_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 2 \bar{\chi}\left(A_{0}\right)+1=3
$$

Since the remaining components of $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$are solid tori, and $K_{0}$ is a genus-2 handlebody, we have $\beta\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ and $\beta(v)=1$ for every vertex $v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Hence (10.10.1) gives

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0}\right) \leq 4+(3-1)+(2-1)+\sum_{v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}}(1-1)=7 .
$$

Lemma 10.11. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp, and let $N$ denote its compact core. Suppose that $N$ contains either a connected incompressible closed surface of genus 2 or a separating, connected incompressible closed surface of genus 3 . Then either
(a) $\operatorname{vol} M>7.32$; or
(b) $N$ contains a genus-2 connected incompressible surface $S$; and furthermore, $S$ is the frontier of some book of I-bundles, possibly with a hollow binding, contained in $N$; or
(c) $H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has rank at most 9 .

Proof. If $N$ contains a separating, closed incompressible surface of genus 2, we fix such a surface and denote it by $Y$. If $N$ does not contain a separating, closed incompressible surface of genus 2, we fix a closed incompressible surface $Y \subset N$ which is either separating and of genus 3 , or non-separating and of genus 2 .

Set $K=\operatorname{kish}(N, Y)$. If $\bar{\chi}(K) \geq 2$, then according to the discussion in 10.8 we have vol $M>$ 7.32. This is conclusion (a) of the lemma.

We shall therefore assume for the rest of the proof that $\bar{\chi}(K) \leq 1$. We let $N^{\prime}$ denote the manifold obtained by splitting $N$ along $Y$.

In the case where $Y$ has genus 2 and separates $N$, the manifold $N^{\prime}$ has two components $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. We have

$$
1 \geq \bar{\chi}(K)=\bar{\chi}\left(A_{1} \cap K\right)+\bar{\chi}\left(A_{2} \cap K\right)
$$

so that after possibly relabeling the $A_{i}$ we may assume that $\bar{\chi}\left(A_{1} \cap K\right)=0$. According to the discussion in 10.6, this means that $A_{1}$ is a book of $I$-bundles, possibly with a hollow binding; thus conclusion (b) of the lemma holds in this case, with $S=Y$.

In the remaining cases, $Y$ is either a separating surface of genus 3 , or a non-separating surface of genus 2. In particular, each component of $N^{\prime}$ has Euler characteristic - 2. Furthermore, in these cases $N$ does not contain any separating, closed incompressible surface of genus 2 . By Lemma 10.9, $K$ is either the empty set, or a genus-2 handlebody, or a disk sum of a solid torus and a manifold homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$.

In these cases we shall show that conclusion (c) of the present lemma holds, and for this purpose it is convenient to consider a suitable Dehn filling of $N$. It follows from Lemma 10.7 that either (i) $\partial N \subset \Sigma_{N^{\prime}}^{+}$or (ii) $\partial N \subset K$. If (i) holds then some component $W$ of $\Sigma_{N^{\prime}}^{+}$is a book of $I$-bundles with a hollow binding $B$, and $\partial N$ is a component of $\partial B$. Since $B \cong S^{1} \times S^{1} \times[0,1]$, the frontier annuli of $B$ in $W$ are non-ambiently isotopic to a simple closed curve in $\partial B$, whose well-defined slope we denote by $\beta$. In this case we choose a slope $\alpha$ in $\partial B$ such that (1) $\alpha$ is defined by a primitive element $\lambda$ of $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z})$ which lies in the kernel of the natural homomorphism $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, and $(2) \alpha \neq \beta$. This is possible because infinitely many slopes in $\partial B$ satisfy (1). If (ii) holds, we choose an arbitrary slope $\alpha$ in $\partial B$ satisfying (1).

We set $N=N(\alpha)$. Condition (1) guarantees that the inclusion homomorphism $H_{1}(N ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ $H_{1}\left(N_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Thus we need to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 9$.

We write $N_{0}=N \cup T$, where $T$ is a solid torus with $T \cap N=\partial T=\partial N$. We set $K_{0}=K \cup T$ if $\partial N \subset K$, and $K_{0}=K$ otherwise. Then $K_{0}=\emptyset$ if $K=\emptyset$, and otherwise the description of $K$ given above implies that $K_{0}$ is a genus- 2 handlebody.

Similarly, we set $\Sigma_{0}^{+}=\Sigma_{N^{\prime}}^{+} \cup T$ in case (i), and $\Sigma_{0}^{+}=\Sigma_{N^{\prime}}^{+}$in case (ii). Then each component of $\Sigma_{0}^{+}$is either a solid torus or a book of $I$-bundles: in case (i) this follows from condition (2), and in case (ii) it is obvious.

For any component $A$ of $N^{\prime}$, we set $A_{0}=A \cup T$ if $\partial N \subset A$, and $A_{0}=A$ otherwise. If $K \neq \emptyset$ and $K \subset A$, it follows from Lemma 10.7 that the components of $K_{0} \cap \Sigma_{0}^{+}$are pairwise nonisotopic annuli on $\partial K_{0}$, and it then follows from Lemma 10.10 that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 7$.

If $K \cap A=\emptyset$ (and in particular if $K=\emptyset$ ), it follows from [12, Lemma 2.21] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 2 \bar{\chi}\left(A_{0}\right)+1=5<7 \tag{10.11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{0}^{\prime}$ denote the manifold obtained by splitting $N_{0}$ along $Y$. Each component of $N_{0}^{\prime}$ may be identified with $A_{0}$ for some component $A$ of $N^{\prime}$. In the case where $Y$ is a non-separating genus-2 surface, $N^{\prime}$ is connected and (10.11.1) gives

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(N_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)+1 \leq 7+1=8
$$

In the case where $Y$ is a genus-3 separating surface, $N^{\prime}$ has two components which we denote by $A$ and $C$. If $K \neq \emptyset$ we label these components in such a way that $K \subset A$. By (10.11.1) we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 7$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 5$.
Since $Y$ is connected, the Mayer-Vietoris theorem gives an exact sequence

$$
H_{1}\left(Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(N_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows from Poincaré-Lefschetz duality that the images of the inclusion homomorphisms $H_{1}\left(Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ have dimension 3. Hence in the exact sequence above, the image of the map $H_{1}\left(Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has dimension at least 3. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(N_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(A_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(C_{0} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)-\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 7+5-3=9
$$

Theorem 10.12. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp, such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$. Let $N$ denote the compact core of $M$. Then either
(a) $\operatorname{vol} M>5.06$, or
(b) $N$ contains a genus-2 connected incompressible surface $S$; and furthermore, $S$ is the frontier of some book of I-bundles, possibly with a hollow binding, contained in $N$.

Remark 10.13. A stronger result than Theorem 10.12 could be proved by a refinement of the argument given below: the lower bound of 11 for $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$ could be relaxed. This would require a rather more involved argument.

Proof of Theorem 10.12. Let us fix a basis $\{\lambda, \mu\}$ of $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\lambda$ lies in the kernel of the natural homomorphism $H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. For every integer $n$, let $\alpha_{n}$ denote the slope defined by the primitive class $\lambda+2 n \mu \in H_{1}(\partial N ; \mathbb{Z})$, and let $M_{n}$ denote the closed manifold obtained from $N$ by the Dehn filling corresponding to $\alpha_{n}$. If $i: N \rightarrow M_{n}$ denotes the inclusion homomorphism, then $i_{*}: H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(M_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism for every $n$. In particular we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$.

We first consider the case in which there is an infinite sequence of distinct integers $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{n_{j}}\right)$ is 3-free for every $j \geq 0$. In this case it follows immediately from Theorem 1.3 that $\operatorname{vol} M>5.06$. This is conclusion (a) of the present theorem.

We shall therefore assume for the rest of the proof that there is an integer $n_{0}>0$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$ fails to be 3-free whenever $|n| \geq n_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is in particular $\geq 5$, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\pi_{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-2 surface group whenever $|n| \geq n_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M_{n} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$, it then follows from [12, Corollary 9.14] that for each $n$ with $|n| \geq n_{0}$, the manifold $M_{n}$ contains either a connected incompressible closed surface of genus 2 or a separating, connected incompressible closed surface of genus 3 .
The main theorem of [14] implies that there are only finitely many boundary slopes (see 4.5) in $\partial N$. Hence there is an integer $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that $\alpha_{n}$ is not a boundary slope. We may write $M_{n_{1}}=N \cup T$, where $T$ is a solid torus with $T \cap N=\partial T=\partial N$, and the meridian disks of $T$ represent the slope $\alpha_{n_{1}}$.
Let $Y \subset M_{n_{1}}$ be a incompressible, connected, closed, orientable surface such that
(10.13.1) either $Y$ has genus 2, or $Y$ is separating and has genus 3 .

We may choose $Y$ so that
each component of $Y \cap T$ is a meridian disk in $T$.
We let $m$ denote the number of components of $Y \cap T$, and we suppose $Y$ to be chosen, among all incompressible, connected, closed, orientable surfaces in $M$ for which (10.13.1) and (10.13.2) hold, so that $m$ is as small as possible.

Suppose that $m>0$. Set $F=Y \cap N$, so that $F$ is a compact orientable surface of genus 2 or 3 , properly embedded in $N$, with $\partial F \neq \emptyset$. Since $\partial N$ is a torus, $F$ cannot be boundaryparallel. If the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ were injective then $\alpha_{n_{1}}$ would be a boundary slope, in contradiction to our choice of $n_{1}$. On the other hand, if $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(N)$ has a non-trivial kernel, it follows from Dehn's lemma and the loop theorem that there is a disk $D_{1} \subset N$ such that $D_{1} \cap F=\partial D_{1}$, and such that $\partial D_{1}$ does not bound a disk in $F$. However, since $Y$ is incompressible in $M_{n_{1}}$, the curve $\partial D_{1}$ does bound a disk $D \subset Y$, which must contain at least one component of $Y \cap T$. If we denote by $Y_{1}$ the incompressible, connected, closed, orientable surface $(Y-D) \cup D_{1}$, then (10.13.1) and (10.13.2) still hold when $Y$ is replaced by $Y_{1}$, but $Y_{1} \cap T$ has at most $m-1$ components. This contradicts the minimality of $m$. Hence we must have $m=0$.

Thus $Y \subset \operatorname{int} N$. Since $Y$ is incompressible in $M_{n_{1}}$, it is incompressible in $N$, and hence in $M$. It follows that $M$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 10.11. But conclusion (a) or (b) of Lemma 10.11 immediately imply conclusion (a) or (b) of the present theorem, whereas conclusion (c) of Lemma 10.11 contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem.

Corollary 10.14. Let $M$ be a complete, finite-volume, orientable hyperbolic manifold having exactly one cusp, such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \geq 11$. Then $\operatorname{vol} M>3.66$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 10.12, we may assume that the compact core $N$ of $M$ contains a genus-2 connected incompressible surface $S$, and that $S$ is the frontier of some book of $I$-bundles $X_{1}$, possibly with a hollow binding, contained in $N$. Set $X_{2}=\overline{M-X_{1}}$. If $X_{2}$ is not itself a book of $I$-bundles, we have $\chi(\operatorname{kish}(N, S) \geq 1$, so that $\operatorname{vol}(M)>3.66$ according to the discussion in 10.8. If $X_{2}$ is a book of $I$-bundles, then for $i=1,2$ we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(X_{i} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 2 \bar{\chi}\left(X_{i}\right)+1=3$ by [12, Lemma 2.21]. By the Mayer-Vietoris theorem it follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} H_{1}\left(N ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \leq 6$, a contradiction to the hypothesis.
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